

Strategies in Court and how to always win - Part 2

First uploaded 27th of August 2023 - [last edited 27th of August 2023]

By Aurelsson

This article discusses how to prepare yourself to win in front of any judge and is part two of the series. I recommend that you read part 1 first and also read the previous articles and book about the person, ownership and the legal system.

During the court process, you will be intimidated to weaken your conviction. Big words will be used and threats will be made. Procedural and legal jargon will be thrown at you.

Always realize: It is theater, it is a show, it is smoke and mirrors, it is not real. Be steadfast. Do not give an inch. Do not play their game. Remain true to who you are and dismiss every notion that associate you with the illusion. Above all, speak the **truth**.

The following points are markers for you to design your own strategy around. The foundation to your strategy are the following truths:

1. You are **not** the person
2. The legal system is **not** real
3. The judge is **not** your owner
4. The judge does **not** own your children

If you by any chance make a mistake and acknowledge anything fictional, that means that you have deviated from one or more of the points above due to intimidation, fear or not fully comprehending the material presented in this article.

To prepare yourself fully, the following points can help:

1. Study this material in depth, **without** mixing it with other material of 'legal truthers'.

Strawman groups, sovereignty groups, common law groups, maritime law groups and legal groups **will definitely** dilute and confuse your proper

uptake and comprehending of this material. None of those ideologies will work in court, because all of those groups are designed to either fight the legal system, educate the legal system or create the same legal system. Most of them even encourage the use of the person or any other fiction.

None of that will work, because you do **not own** anything. Those ideas always **need something** from the current existing legal system. It is often an indirect recognition of the legal system and that is what you want to avoid.

The approach of this article is rather **exposing** the **deception** without fighting, without educating or without creating a new legal system. It is simply by **exposing** the lie, such that the case is dropped. That is the goal.

See it as a strategic game, like chess or stratego, in which you have to think about your moves and the moves of your opponent, which is the judge.

This is **not** about you **knowing** things or laws of the legal system: on the contrary. **None** of that is relevant. This is a game about **seeing** the illusion and the deception and **knowing** what is real and what is fake.

Again: At every moment in the court-case ask yourself the question: Am I working at the moment in reality or am I working at the moment in the illusion?

Everything involving the legal system and the person, are the illusion and everything concerning your physical body, where you are, what is actually happening are aspects of reality. Always compare reality with fiction.

No matter what you do, keep reality and the illusion **separate**. Do not mix, because if you do, you will make mistakes and the judge will notice it and will exercise jurisdiction over you in an instant.

2. Try to attend as many court case as you can, especially the opening of the cases and try to apply the information in this article as if you were sitting there as the defendant. Play it in your head. Think of things to say, play out scenarios. If you can, ask the judge questions from the public seating area. Learn the mechanics of your opponent right there in court where you can see and learn from it.

Basic components to prepare your strategy

Although you might have pressing issues with the legal system or you want to get something that you lost or you want to achieve something material, always realize that in order to get that, you have to play by their rules and you have to play their game.

Because you do not own anything, you always have to beg for everything. The fate of your life is not in your hands but in the judge's hand.

If you can let go of your own pressing issues and step back to look at the bigger picture, it is about doing the right thing. If you do the right thing, you will win and with it, you will regain your dignity and liberty.

Your number one goal in court is to **expose their deceptions**. Do not play their game or you will lose.

To be able to be well prepared, it is paramount to have the following components clear and prepared:

- Hand in evidence

For every court case it is important to hand in the following 2 pieces of evidence:

1 - Article about the person being a fiction: Title: **Sua cuique persona? A Note on the Fiction of Legal Personhood and a Reflection on Interdisciplinary Consequences** by Prof.mr.dr. (Jeanne) AMP Gaakeer | Erasmus University Rotterdam - Erasmus School of Law Theory and Methodology - https://repub.eur.nl/pub/107897/Repub_107897_O-A.pdf

This evidence is an article written by **Jeanne Gaakeer** one of the leading judges in the world, a senior judge, explaining how the person is a fiction and how the legal system is based on a fiction. No respectable judge can ignore another prominent judge, even from a different jurisdiction. The legal systems of all jurisdictions in the world function with the same principles, so this is a valid piece of evidence coming from the horse's mouth.

2 - The actual legal law that you supposedly have broken. It is important to have it clear that the law reads: 'No **person** shall do X,Y,Z.' It is important to have the 'no person' part underlined to show that the law only speaks of persons and not of living beings.

Besides these two pieces of evidence, also have it clear for yourself what 'to deem to be' means and how to contest 'deeming to be the person' if the judge throws that at you.

- Prove the person is fictional

This law professor, Jeanne Gaakeer, also a senior judge in the Netherlands, basically admits that the person is fictional, thus not real.

As most people think they are the person or a judge will tell you that you are deemed to be a person, you can counter all of that by showing that this judge, a peer to any judge in the world, has officially stated the person to be fictional.

As you are a living being and thus real, it follows that you cannot be a fictional being, or person. Nobody can be somebody and somebody else at the same time. If you admit that you are the person you are - in fact - **lying**.

You **cannot** be real and fictional at the same time. It follows that you are **not** a person, since you are a living being. Since you are a living being, you cannot be a dead being. A person is a dead being, so you **cannot** be a person.

No matter what is thrown at you, it is very important to stand your ground and defend the fact that you are a living being and **not** a person.

Also, when you are in front of the judge, state clearly that **lying** is a **fraud** and that you will not be **coerced** into lying by pretending to be a fictional being as everyone in court can clearly see that you **are not** a fictional being, rather a living being.

State also that any attempt of the court to pressure you into appearing as a person or personifying the person is an act of **extortion**.

Always note and ask the judge: Am I here or do I appear to be here? So if the judge insists that you appear to be here (through the person) then you state: So I am not really here?

As you can see, because the legal system deals only in fictions, it would be straightforward to catch their deception and lies.

It is just very important to remain calm and always keep a separation between reality and illusion and always keep track in which domain you are operating: In reality or in illusion. It is impossible to be living and fictional simultaneously. Realizing that is the key.

- Contest to be deemed to be

The judge can counter by stating that you are 'deemed to be a person'.

This is a bait: Do not take it. Address the word 'deem', which means suppose, assume, believe, think, consider etc. These are all words of **imprecision**.

To suppose does not mean to be, to assume does not mean to be, to believe does not mean to be. To be means to be sure that something is what it is. Deem is again a trick to deceive you into believing that the judge has authority over you.

No law in the legal system says that you are deemed to be a person. Do **not** accept the suggestion: **Deeming** something **does not make it so** and is not legal in any case.

Counter the judge by pointing to the fact that no law says that you are deemed to be a person and point to the literary fact that deeming does not mean to be.

What you deem does not make it fact by the obviousness of the lack of evidence.

The court can only deal in fictions and it is clear that you are not a fiction. Deem is impossible to be 'to be'.

- Prove that the judge's jurisdiction is fictional

It is a complete fact that the judge has **only** jurisdiction over the **person**.

The judge has no jurisdiction over living individuals. No legal rule says "No living man / living being / living individual can do X,Y,Z.". The legal rules are stipulated as: "No **person** shall do X,Y,Z". That is the essence of the jurisdiction of the judge.

Also the judge does not own the living individual, **only** the **person**. As the person is fictional and the judge has only jurisdiction over fictional beings, it **logically follows** that the judge only has **fictional jurisdiction**.

- Prove that the judge has never made anything

To be able to own something properly, you have to be able to prove that you made that something.

Since the judge represents a fictional entity called a country by and through a fictional entity called the government, the judge can **only** represent the entity that claims to have made the **person**.

Since the person is fictional, **nothing real** has ever been made by a judge.

Since also the judge has no jurisdiction over anything real, it follows that the judge cannot be the owner of anything real. This involves especially your **kids** and anything in your custody and land.

Since all these real things are **assumed** to be in the custody of the legal law, through the claim of having authority over those real things by having them in legal fictions (corporations (land and other items in your custody) and the birth certificate (your children)), it is your **objective** in court to call out the legal fictions as **not real** and **non existent** in order to prove that the judge has no real jurisdiction over those aspects. Please reflect on this for a moment. Re-read it if necessary. This is also how you get your children back and how you separate land and other items from the clutches of the legal law. Also use the word impossible. The legal system cannot deal with that.

- **Never** plead, beg or ask for anything

When you ask the judge for anything, which is pleading or begging, you are in fact acknowledging the jurisdiction of the judge over you and you put your fate in the judge's hands.

Even if you have not personified yourself in the legal fiction, you still recognized the judge **as** your authority and thus **will be treated** as such.

In other words, since you ask, plead or beg for something, you authorize the judge to consider giving you something and you put yourself under the judge.

The correct way is to have the attitude of what is happening in reality: some other living being (the judge) is wearing a robe and acting as if he or she can control you. The judge will be able to do that **if you let** the judge **do that**.

The correct behavior is not to let the judge do that, but to state the obvious: the judge has no authority over you, because you are a **living individual** and the judge, who plays this whole masquerade game, has **no jurisdiction** over you, because you are not a fictional being.

Because your property has been taken from you through **fraud**, (which the whole legal system is) you expose the fraud and **demand** your property back. This is not asking, but this is **telling**. It is a very subtle difference, but an important one.

The most important property you have are your children if you have any. You made them, you rule over them. Expose the fraud by telling the court they did not make those children and the court has no jurisdiction over them.

They were obtained by fraud. You **demand** them back, but you do **not ask**.

- Deny or dismiss using an attorney

When you use an attorney, you are being re-presented by a person, appearing in your stead and pleading for you.

It is as if somebody else is taking on your fictional role and acts through it.

If you use an attorney, you will lose any chance of exposing the deception and you will be property of the judge. You will be deemed to be a person and thus subject to the whims of the judge.

Your chances to defend yourself as a living being would drop to almost zero.

Also, you can only present yourself not re-present yourself. So to have somebody else re-present yourself is using a fake mask to act as if it is you, but it is not you.

It is a fraud and a deception.

- Separate fiction and reality through the attorney of record

Since you are defending yourself, you have to realize that to operate within the legal system you have to **separate** fiction and reality.

The fiction is the person for whom you normally would appear for. Reality is that you are a living being made of flesh and blood.

The trick is simple: The living being **appears** with its body of flesh and blood **as** the fictional person. The body **acts** out the role of the person. The living being is the attorney of record, **appearing** as the person. In court, any person can be the attorney of record, which usually is the attorney of the defendant or the defendant without the attorney, which is **you** (if you choose to appear as the person).

It is important to use a **different** name for the living being, than the name you have gotten from your parents which is written on the birth certificate. The name of the **living being** is the **real name**, the name of the person is the fictional name.

In court you could use the same name for both beings, both fictional and living, but that would mix things up and it will give a higher risk of confusing things. When you defend yourself you could say something like this: "Good morning your honor, this is [real name of you, your living being], attorney of record, appearing for the person [fictional name from your birth certificate]." [You will confuse the judge and the judge will seek to clarify].

"Yes, your honor, I am the attorney of record appearing for the person [fictional name]."

[If the judge says you cannot do that or that you are not the attorney of record, you say:] "If I am not the attorney of record in this matter, then I have no business in this matter."

[Then walk out of court and the case is dropped, because the court could not recognize or accept the person being played out.]

The deception was too obvious and the court needs to seal the exposure to the deception as quick as possible. This is the back-door procedure mentioned earlier.

- Establish ownership: Who owns that?

Another blow to the deception of the court is to ask the judge: Who owns that?

If the judge says that you own it, you can expose the deception by stating that if you own it, then the court has no business in it.

If the judge backtracks, you can catch the judge with lying and exposing that the state owns it.

In the legal system there is only one owner, the state. The judge acts on behalf of the state and has - in fact - the same power as an owner. The judge decides what will be done with the judge's property. That is how the judge sees you, as his/her property and any owner can do with his/her property whatever that owner sees fit.

Contesting the ownership is very important in court, because the judge only has ownership over the legal fiction, the person and **not** over the living individual.

It is imperative to establish the fact that you are not the person, rather the living individual and thus that the judge has no jurisdiction over you.

- Say you do not know that the trespass was a crime or deny comprehension

If you do not have the mental capacity to form a will, then you cannot be held legally responsible.

This is the delicate line between doing what is wrong or right, versus doing what is legal or illegal.

You have to **know** that you did something wrong to be persecuted to have done something illegal, **even though** right or wrong is not part of the legal system. It is again a fine example of the hypocrisy of the legal system, but a caveat that you can use to your advantage.

Everything about the legal system is wrong and not knowing something or the fact that something is wrong, fits in the premise that none of the rules of the legal system applies to you.

This is because you are not a person, so not knowing something about what happens in the legal system is just because you are a living being who is not concerned with the legal system: it just does not apply to you.

Also, if you do not comprehend something concerning the breaking of a law and that can be clearly demonstrated, there is no legal ground to convict you.

- Relax about contempt of court

The judge will try to intimidate you and put pressure on you to conform.

Hold your ground and do not give one inch. Know that truth is on your side. Focus on **exposing the deception**. Know that the whole thing is a theater. If you do not identify as the person, the judge has no jurisdiction over you.

The judge might hold you for contempt of the court or throw you in jail for a couple of days, violating the bounds of the legal law. But, since you have not identified as the dead entity, the person, the court-case **cannot** be **acted out**.

There is simply no person present and thus the judge cannot convict you. Even if the judge deems you to be, you just hold your ground and sign nothing.

There will be massive procedural violations by the judge and you can continue to fight those violations.

Whatever happens, never ever act as if you are the person and never ever identify as the person. Know that you are a living being and that the judge has no authority over you. The judge only has authority or jurisdiction over the person.

If the judge confronts you to have a birth certificate or if they know you have papers, you just simply state that you have been deceived by the state and you have been **coerced** to use a false persona that is not you.

You have been deceived by the legal system, but no more. That is the truth and as long as you state the truth in court, in the end the system can't touch you.