


Pim Fortuyn faked his death. The hoax of the century.

Private opinion with conclusions derived from own research

First uploaded 12th of December 2023 - [last edited 12th of December 2023]

By Aurelsson



Pim Fortuyn became a much beloved figure in the Netherlands after he announced to run for the national election. His charisma, charm, ideas and demeanor gave many people hope for change. However, millions of Dutch people were shocked and traumatized by his alleged assassination on the 6th of May 2002, nine days before the elections. He was allegedly shot dead by a 'left-wing environmentalist and animal rights activist' Volkert van der Graaf. Some people speculated that there was actually a second shooter and that Fortuyn was liquidated for the simple reason that he became a threat to the elites. But are these stories true?

In this paper, I explore some anomalies in his 'assassination' and present a wholly different scenario that is not mentioned anywhere. I also discuss some popular conspiracy theories and present some similarities to the JFK assassination. As with **JFK**, I suspect that Pim Fortuyn's death was staged and faked for a very specific reason, which I will discuss in depth.

As usual, I provide the links to main stream articles, but will only focus on anomalies and incongruencies that will expose the story and question what really happened on the 6th of May 2002.

I write this paper with the utmost respect to the Dutch people and do not wish to antagonize or ridicule anybody's beliefs. I am only interested in the truth - and just like

others have done in the past – I try to make sense of the information presented. I simply accept how the chips may fall and have no interest in rallying for one idea or the other. In other words: I have no agenda in this affair whatsoever, rather I am only interested in the truth. If this means that Pim Fortuyn faked his death, then so be it. I do say this with the awareness that people still grieve Fortuyn and many still love him, but despite that, I also believe people deserve to consider another point of view that might bring us closer to what happened on May 6th, 2002.

Some background

As in many countries in the world, there are two worlds that live side by side in society. We have the ‘normal’ world which is presented through the media and educational system about what people think is going on and then we have a world that is more obscure, in which only a select elite participate. The latter is the one that controls the former through deception and lies. Most people fall in the ‘normal’ world and are generally and mostly not aware of the obscure world. Some may sense it, some may even know about it, but across the board, it is fairly hidden.

People who are very prominent in society are part of that obscure world, while still operating in the ‘normal’ world. This is no difference in the Netherlands. Some people I talked to who are close to the top in the Netherlands and have witnessed this personally, have confirmed to me that this hidden world is mostly secret clubs with the most prominent club being freemasonry. Top politicians, captains of industry, professors or any ‘gatekeepers’ will be masons. There are various other secret clubs, but everything is basically controlled through 1. a secret vow they make to the ideology of the club and 2. through the fact that prominent people can be blackmailed with sensitive information that is used against them.

Freemasonry in the Netherlands is directly controlled by the country’s secret intelligence agency the AIVD (Algemene Inlichtingen- en Veiligheidsdienst). Top masons are top spooks and they control everybody else down the ladder. There is also a military branch. Of course, the AIVD is controlled by powerful handlers who have direct ties to the Jewish international banking families which own everything in the Netherlands. These families also directly control the Dutch Royal family and their assets. You could say that the Dutch Royals are one of these families, but much lower in rank. The Dutch Royal family is Jewish as well, just like the ones in [England](#), Sweden, [Norway](#) and the rest of them, but of course not publicly.

People of prominence and influence, both in politics and in industry will only be permitted to execute a certain function if they are vetted and approved. They are approved **unofficially** through the masonic lodges and through the AIVD. Their profiles need to be matching the goal and intent of the overarching agenda and almost all of them are within the clubs. Outsiders have no chance and that is why we see the same people always being recycled through politics, industry and public positions.

The media is a very important arm of the AIVD in the Netherlands. This aspect is very important as we shall see with Fortuyn. In other words, across the world, by controlling the media, the elites control the public opinion, because people are like sheep, they

believe anything they are told through various media. It is no different in the Netherlands. And it was no different how it went down with the Fortuyn narrative.

If you run a secret project to get a certain idea into the heads of millions of people, you run it through the media and have some freemasonic handlers play the influencers in the center and on the fringes of society, seemingly coming out of nowhere. No different in the Netherlands.

Pim Fortuyn has been a very central figure in academia, politics, industry and media over decades. He was extremely intelligent, connected and definitely part of the Dutch obscure world. Pim Fortuyn was **too connected** to challenge the agenda. This is a mayor red flag against the popular 'assassination' narrative. In other words, he was a prominent member of that obscure world and like everybody else in that world, when he got the tap on the shoulder, he executed his mission to **perfection**. He obeyed. Period.

Pim Fortuyn's early years

At [wikipedia](#) you can read some of Fortuyn's background, but we will focus on the most important parts, red flags and inconsistencies in the main stream narrative.

Fortuyn was a professor at the Erasmus University in Rotterdam. Coupled with the fact that he was an advisor to the Dutch government and a prominent opinion maker in the Dutch media, you can be fully certain that he was part of the obscure world in the Netherlands. There is no way that somebody with that much influence would be permitted to do anything that he did in society without being approved and vetted.

But there is more. Fortuyn was even more influential than meets the eye. He worked as a lecturer at Nyenrode Business University. This business school is a top spook hangout and a mayor center for freemasons in the Netherlands. Of course, not all students are freemasons, but the majority of them are and Nyenrode is an important place to make connections and friendships for members of the obscure world in the Netherlands.

It is not your average business school, rather it is elitist, private and for the privileged to go there. Nyenrode claims, of course, that anybody can go there, but it is important to always be aware of what is **said** and what is **really** going on there. Those two aspects are radically different.

Fortuyn was also a lecturer at the University of Groningen in the north of the Netherlands. Of all the things that Fortuyn could teach at Groningen, he taught **Marxism**, which, as we already have seen, is a [Jewish ideology](#) and a way to transform nations towards industrialization. Marxism is a 100% intelligence project that was rolled out in various countries and is designed for the masses to accept a ruthless elite which controls everything in your life. It is a way to funnel massive amounts of money from the population to a tiny Jewish banking elite.

Anybody - at such a high level - associated with Marxism and communism has 'spook' written all over them. Fortuyn also 'sympathized' with the Communist party of the Netherlands, but miraculously, never joined. I wonder, why not? Perhaps that was a step too far for his public image.

My best bet is the Pim Fortuyn was a **high valued** secret AIVD agent who was extremely effective at **influencing** public opinion through his charisma and through his appeal to 'normal' people. He was very apt at conveying publicly what many people were thinking or what many people were discontent about. He was extremely likable and down to earth, which had a magnetic attraction to many people.

I sense he was selected on the basis of his intelligence, charisma and **perfect role** he **could perform** as a **controlled opposition** agent. What I mean by this is that he could convey something in line with a predetermined agenda, without really being in favor of it in his heart. In other words, he was a **perfect actor**.

The **core** of what Pim Fortuyn represents is that he could play a **symbol** of **discontent** that was **brewing** within the population for **decades**. This brewing discontent of the population **had to be controlled**, otherwise society could erupt into chaos. What I mean by this is the problematic mass-immigration that had crippled the Netherlands for decades, the extreme amount of rules in the Netherlands and most importantly the loss of the **identity** of what it **meant** to be **Dutch**. This was a very serious problem in the Netherlands and Fortuyn was **used** to **address this**, capture some form of hope and give the Dutch society direction. But, it was all **controlled**, by the same people from the obscure world that Fortuyn was **part of**. It had to be **put down** to neutralize the threat.

According to wikipedia, Fortuyn's ideas later began to 'shift' from Marxism to 'Liberalism' and he was openly more critical of multiculturalism, the Islam and immigration. I think this was the starting point of his controlled opposition project. His ideas and him being a symbol were basically used to form a **trap** and to perform an **analysis** to what extent the discontent was present in society. With a trap I mean, the number of people who would follow Fortuyn and be trapped by 'his ideas'. Knowing this was something that was extremely important to the controllers behind the curtain.

With analysis I mean the goal to comprehend how far the discontent was within the Dutch population. Fortuyn was used for many goals, but capturing the discontent in society and funneling it in a direction the elites wanted was the **main goal**. A secondary goal of the Fortuyn project was to psychologically and morally destroy the hope for real change in society. This was the change that so many Dutch people were craving for, but never got. The goal was also to maintain a perpetual frustration and punishment for the fact that so many Dutch people were doubting the system and anticipating disobedience.

Another hint that Fortuyn was a top spook in the Netherlands is that he was an advisor to the SER, the Social and Economic Council (Sociale Economische Raad) which - de facto - is the most powerful political organ in the Netherlands. It is **officially** an advisory body, but **in reality** it is an institution that yields direct and immediate **undemocratic** power in the national decision making process. The population have no say in who can be on its board. Virtually all of their 'official advises' are **directly followed** and **implemented** by the government. So the 'advisory function' is mere theater. The very top spooks form the executive committee of the SER and are directly controlled by the AIVD. The king is always the chairman.

Fortuyn was also a director of a governmental body administrating student transport cards and rolling that project out on a national level. It still exist to this very day.

For ALL of these functions you have to be approved and vetted. Nobody in the Netherlands lands a position of power without going through the lodge system and without getting a blessing from the AIVD. Nobody. Period.

Later on, Fortuyn also started to get involved into media much more prominently until he was ready for his grand project.

Politically, Fortuyn had been present across the entire political spectrum going from Marxism, to leftism, to a central position until ending up in the right wing of politics. For a man, who was so connected and prominent in the Netherlands, to go from the far left all the way to the right, is an exceptional shift. That **almost never** happens. To me it reeks of spookery and a project in the making. It was a carefully prepared project that was being played on the population.

Remember that the discontent in Dutch society was real and it was a serious threat to the establishment. This threat had to be mitigated and the population punished. I will go more into detail later on about the fundamental intent of the Fortuyn project.

We can raise another red flag on Fortuyn about 9/11. After that hoax, Fortuyn called for a 'cold war' against Islam, rather than saying that 9/11 was an inside job to create a fake 'war on terror' and fabricate Islam as some sort of 'enemy' of the West. The 'war on terror' was all bullshit to give the national intelligence agencies of the West more power and more spying privileges against their own populations. Not a peep about that from Fortuyn, as expected. I do not believe for a second that he didn't know it was all fake.

But as 9/11 unfolded and people were brainwashed into 'Islam bad', resentment and hatred erupted with many Dutch people. This was further fueled by decades of uncontrolled immigration, non-integration, cultural clashes and general discontent in the population. It was a perfect culmination of deep inner frustration within the Dutch people that needed to be harnessed, channeled and directed in the right direction for the plans of the elite controllers. This was the perfect moment for Fortuyn. In the wake of 9/11 Fortuyn got pushed heavily to the forefront to take advantage of the momentum which was created with 9/11.

Obvious clues that Fortuyn was playing out an intelligence project came rapidly after 9/11. On the 26th of November 2001, just two and half months after 9/11, Fortuyn was chosen leader of the 'Livable Netherlands' (Leefbaar Nederland) political party, a fairly small and unknown party at the time. It would give him the necessary quick media attention he needed, without the burden to set up a whole new party in such a short period of time. You could clearly see the script was being rolled out. And that was just the start of the whole drama.

Fortuyn kept on swinging hard. He attacked the mainstream parties and exposed their failure with immigration, multiculturalism and national security. He was riding the aftermath of 9/11, he was showing the national sentiment and used it to present himself as the national savior and hero. It was music to the ears of most Dutch people. He was flamboyant, combative, funny, charming and above all, a symbol of new hope for change for many people. Thousands instantly became followers and everybody could feel he was taking the political arena by storm and ruffling many stiffed-up feathers.

Just remember that it was all a show and carefully planned. Fortuyn had worked years and years with books, speeches and teaching jobs to get to this culmination point where his star was rising. Fortuyn was surfing the wave of national discontent, playing his role perfectly.

He continued to exploit his controversial nature. By February of the next year and months before the elections, an interview he gave for Dutch newspaper 'Volkskrant', got him instantly fired from Livable Netherlands. He just mentioned he wanted to scrap article 1 of the Dutch constitution about not being allowed to discriminate. It was all over the news and the underdog Fortuyn had been created.

The script was being played out to the T and he went on to create his own party on the 11th of February 2002, 'List Pim Fortuyn' (Lijst Pim Fortuyn, LPF). You see how this is scripted? On the 9th of February he gave that Volkskrant interview. On the 10th of February he got officially fired and on the 11th of February he announced his own party. On the 14th of February his new party the LPF was founded. Wow! What a speed! Not scripted at all!

With that new party, Fortuyn won by a landslide in Rotterdam on the 6th of March for the municipal county elections. That party was three weeks old and won massively, with about one third of all votes, which was an unprecedented achievement. But keep your eyes on the ball: the population was being prepared for a massive energetic boost which would be surgically destroyed on the 6th of May, the day of Fortuyn's assassination.

After the Rotterdam landslide, everybody felt something special was brewing and Fortuyn then focused his eyes on the government in The Hague and the May elections.

Strategically, two months before the elections, he released his book 'The mess of eight purple years' (De puinhopen van acht jaar paars), criticizing the past political period of eight years. How convenient to have your book ready at precisely that perfect time no? It also functioned as his election program, so it all was just excellent timing.

As you can see, Fortuyn did not mess around and came out of the gates storming. Everything worked, everything clicked. It was a political tsunami that captivated the nation.

The whole sentiment in the Netherlands was that something special was unfolding. Fortuyn was associated with the extreme-right, called a 'fascist' and got even thrown a pie in his face. But Fortuyn relentlessly ploughed on. The stage was set for something big to happen. Most people in the Netherlands expected Fortuyn to even achieve a groundbreaking result in the national election that would propel him to the position of Prime Minister. With a party that was a few weeks old.

Either the problems in the Netherlands were so severe for this to happen, or it was a careful staged project or most likely, both.

People were ready for change. People were tired of the old political bamboozlement. Others hated that prospect of change. And others were afraid. It was a brewing mess that found its culmination on May 6th 2002, just nine days before the elections.

Why would they fake Fortuyn's death?

As I stated before, Fortuyn was part of the elitist establishment, a charismatic influencer of public opinion and a member of the obscure world of the Netherlands. He was a highly intelligent and well-trained spook that came at the right time.

The Dutch people's discontent with immigration, Islam, politics, the economy and various other issues, had grown to be a threat to the establishment.

The Dutch had to be **punished**. Their hope had to be squashed. They had to learn to only rely on the current system and agenda. They had to fall back in line and keep their mouths shut. They had to obey and accept their lives as it was given to them. Their hope had to be squashed.

In other words: The people that cared about real change had to be **demoralized** and **traumatized**.

They had to be demoralized to such an extent that they would lose all trust in a right-wing party with ideas that would threaten the status quo. They had to be demoralized to question immigration or the multicultural society that the Netherlands had become. They had to become angry, scared and cynical slaves, confused by the world they lived in, in order that the elite controllers could destroy any potential of real uprising or real protest to the plans that had to unfold in the many upcoming years.

In other words, the obvious threat of a real revolution or uprising had to be neutralized.

One of the best tactics for this is to **lead** a movement of opposition yourself and let it **implode**.

That is exactly what happened with Fortuyn. He was **made** a symbol of rising opposition to the establishment, albeit a **controlled opposition**, to be then ruthlessly **eliminated** at the culmination point, 9 days before the elections.

Fortuyn was **swapped** with another actor on May 6th. The world witnessed a faked death and millions of Dutch were instantly shocked, traumatized and demoralized in one clean sweep. The dissent was squelched overnight and people were directed back to the norm.

Nine days later, the LPF won a second place in the elections, but in the subsequent months it gradually imploded. Many supporters of Fortuyn experienced one of the nastiest hangovers ever, from months of building up hope and enthusiasm, towards their biggest let-down, symbolized in the alleged 'assassination' of Pim Fortuyn.

It is a classic intelligence tactic to have a symbolic 'ship' loaded with people you see as dissidents or problems, after which you make the ship 'sink' and 'rescue' the survivors to come back to the shore, i.e. to come back to the norm.

That is exactly what happened with Fortuyn's movement. The LPF was that ship and Fortuyn was its captain. Fortuyn was used to load a tremendous amount of people on that boat, then to have it sunk like a brick to the bottom of the ocean. It was a tragedy, trauma and punishment for many Dutch people. To this very day.

Inconsistencies and anomalies in Fortuyn's death

As usual, the explanations over at wikipedia about [Fortuyn's death](#) are paper thin. Also the [official page](#) of the assassination of Fortuyn is limited. We will look at all inconsistencies and a few key figures:

1. His alleged assassin, [Volkert van der Graaf](#)
2. Fortuyn's driver: [Hans Smolders](#)
3. Radio DJ: [Ruud de Wild](#)
4. Journalist on site: [Dave Abspoel](#)

The first anomaly we can detect is that Volkert van der Graaf **still** had the murder weapon, a Star Firestar M43, in his possession when he was arrested by the police. What idiot keeps a handgun on them after they just killed somebody? I tell you which one. One who **wants** to be caught and who wants to make it obvious they did it.

The second anomaly is that the Dutch elections were not postponed. This is again a huge indicator that this was a national hoax. Traumatize the people, but still let them vote for their own slavery. Brilliant.

Another big hint that the assassination was staged, was the fact that Pim Fortuyn repeatedly mentioned that he felt unsafe and that he feared for his life. If that is true, then **why** did he never take action to protect himself? He said that he would accept private protection and bodyguards if it was **offered to him** by the Dutch state. The Dutch government always denied there was a threat. But isn't somebody's life more important than who pays for it? Fortuyn had enough money to hire a couple of bodyguards, during at least the couple of weeks leading up to the elections, to make sure that he was protected. Once he would get into the government, he could have gotten protection by law and also paid for by the government. It is just plain **foolish** and makes absolutely **no sense** what so ever. This whole 'protection' thing reads more as a **planned weakness** and a way for you to **accept the error** that led to the unfortunate force majeure death of Fortuyn. It is easy to 'kill' somebody when they are not protected. Even a child can comprehend this and that was exactly why they did not protect Fortuyn. **To make the hoax believable.**

But it gets even more alarming. Fortuyn also allegedly said in an interview that he had contacts in Rotterdam, just plain people like [taxi drivers](#) and police officers on the street, who supported him, who offered to protect him **for free** if he would have asked them for help. If this is true, then it **was no money issue** either. He could have called a few people from Rotterdam and they would have gladly protected him. The period up to the elections was just a few weeks after Fortuyn got a pie in his face at his book publication. That was a turning point and a big sign that Fortuyn was in serious trouble considering his security. What is a few weeks of free protection? What is a few weeks of paid protection? There were thus absolutely **no** limitations for Fortuyn to have arranged protection. As you can clearly see, when using common sense, they **had to** refuse the protection at all cost to have an a **believable** argumentation to make Fortuyn's death look **real**.

As for Fortuyn, if he really cared about the Dutch people and wanted to make a difference, the first thing he had to do is protect himself, because he **knew** lots of people would oppose him, even the crazies.

If you think you are in danger, you go and protect yourself. Any sane man or woman would do that. Period. Anything other is just either stupidity or hoaxing. It wasn't that Fortuyn was dumb and made that error. On the contrary, he was a very intelligent man, so this whole protection angle was **part of the hoax** in which Fortuyn **actively** and **knowingly** participated.

So the conclusion is that they **left** Fortuyn unprotected, because it would **serve the hoax story** later on. That is the **only** explanation for this massive **blunder**.

To add more credibility to the alleged 'fear' of Pim Fortuyn that his life was in danger, in the weeks leading up to the elections, Fortuyn **insisted** that a Roman Catholic priest, called Louis Berger, accompanied him during some of his TV interviews. Berger had become a 'friend' and 'confessor'. Some speculate that this was a move, because Fortuyn felt his days were numbered. You have to laugh. Just more drama and story building for the fake hoax story later on.

Another red flag is the statement of Volkert van der Graaf that he 'murdered' Fortuyn because Fortuyn was making '**scapegoats**' out of Muslims and targeting the 'weak of society' for own political gain. Are you rolling on the floor laughing? That is the most asinine reason to kill somebody if you are an 'animal rights activist'. It makes no sense what so ever. It is another indicator that they just made up this story.

Fortuyn was critical of the fact that Islam is not in alignment with Western Christian values. Which is just a simple truth and not something to get upset about. Fortuyn was critical of immigration and had ideas to stop immigration of people with other values than the Dutch. So this whole statement by Van der Graaf is just more drama and feeding the hoax story.

So how was the hoax of the assassination played out?

According to the mainstream media, Fortuyn was assassinated by Volkert van der Graaf on May 6th, 2002, in a car park, just outside of a radio station in Hilversum. Fortuyn just had given an interview with Radio 3FM DJ, Ruud de Wild. Ruud de Wild had claimed that he was with Fortuyn and had **witnessed** the murder from a very short distance. De Wild walked after Fortuyn, because he allegedly forgot to give him a box of wine, which a colleague of De Wild had brought. In the moment that De Wild wanted to give the wine, Fortuyn allegedly got shot. Here you can see a picture of the car park. Do you notice anything?



That's right. It is very confined. On three sides it is basically closed and you can only enter from the right side. It was around 6 pm in the evening and most people already left for home. There were still people, but it is a perfect controlled location without too many people walking in and out to stage an event.

Also, keep in mind the irony of the location: It was in the biggest media park in the Netherlands. This is where all the main studios are and where TV productions are being 'staged'. It is a symbolic place to hoax the nation. It is a hint that is quite clear.

The big red flag in the Ruud de Wild story is this: De Wild claimed his **ear was bleeding** from the **pressure** of the firing of the Star Firestar M43 9mm pistol that Van der Graaf used. This is **impossible**. This is why: Volkert van der Graaf **claimed** that he passed Pim Fortuyn, turned and shot him in the back and head five times, with the sixth shot that missed. He did this from approximately 1.5 meters, or 5 feet away from Fortuyn. Ruud de Wild was standing close to Fortuyn, but a tiny bit further, and **not** closer to, or in the way of, Van der Graaf, otherwise De Wild would have got shot as well.

When you research this Star Firestar M43 9mm gun on the internet, you mostly get raving reviews on the accuracy and handling of the gun. Not a peep about exorbitant noise or pressure release or anything. Gun lovers seem to be ecstatic about this gun, with only its weight and availability of spare parts as its main drawbacks. Nothing about noise or pressure. Additionally, it is uncommon that people get ear bleeds from firing weapons. Otherwise, using those would not make sense at all.

It is **impossible** that Ruud de Wild got a bleeding on his ear from the gun. This is because De Wild was **standing too far away**. If what Ruud de Wild is saying is true, then Volkert van der Graaf should have had his ears blown out of the pressure as well. Of course, there is no mention of that anywhere in the testimony of Volkert van der Graaf. He seemed to hear quite fine when he was answering questions in front of the judge.

So what is going on here with Ruud de Wild? Is he lying? What is this story about an ear bleed due to the pressure of the gun? Let me ask you this, does it make sense to you to get an ear bleed from the pressure of a shot fired from a gun 1.5 meters away?

I have been standing next to a guy emptying entire clips next to me with a Glock 9mm, a more powerful weapon than the Firestar M43 and felt fine. What is this nonsense about pressure gradients coming off guns? Was Ruud de Wild holding his ear on the barrel of the gun when Volkert van der Graaf, unloaded his clip on Pim Fortuyn? I don't think so. So why the anomalies in the story?

My take is that Ruud de Wild was in on the hoax. Lying through his teeth at the world for something that is not possible if you use common sense. Just more theater and drama to make the hoax look real and dangerous.

According to the mainstream media, Fortuyn was shot six times in his head, neck and chest. According to Van der Graaf, he moved around Fortuyn to shoot him in the back, because Van der Graaf wanted to avoid that Fortuyn would duck away or that he would accidentally shoot somebody else. Right. As if people are quicker than a bullet in response time. This is another BS element to an already in-credible story line.

But it gets better. Volkert van de Graaf also had a plastic bag around the pistol. Well, what do you think what that would do to the accuracy of the gun? It would obviously impede with shooting it. Why not just wear thin gloves, instead of **decreasing your chances** to accurately shoot somebody due to the impeding plastic? Yet another nonsensical asinine explanation to add to the drama. But the odd thing is that Van der Graaf **did have** gloves on, as he testified to have brought them and to have wore them. So why the extra plastic bag around the gun? Another nonsensical thing to spice up the drama.

Hans Smolders, Fortuyn's chauffeur, ran after Van der Graaf, but could not catch him as Van der Graaf was pointing the gun at him at some point during the chase. Moments later, Volkert van der Graaf was caught by the police at a gas station nearby. Of course, he was still in the possession of the gun. Because that is what you do when you want to be caught red handed.

Remarkably, the police were very quick to apprehend him. A bit too quick, I might add, with also a search helicopter that was also deployed rather quickly.

Another red flag is that within 15 minutes after the news of the 'shooting' got out, the entire media park was closed down. Normally it takes time to coordinate something like this and respond, especially around 6 pm when people are going home. It seems that the order to close the media park came unnaturally quick for something that does never happen in the Netherlands.

Then we have the **biggest red flag of them all**. Please study the following picture that was published on the front page of the three biggest news papers in the Netherlands, The 'Telegraaf', the 'Volkskrant' and the 'Algemeen Dagblad'. You see Pim Fortuyn allegedly lying dead on the street after the 'assassination'.



Have a very good look at that picture. Especially the proportions. Now, you can see it: It is **FAKE**. I heard Dutch people are some of the tallest people in the world, but I didn't know they were giants. The men in blue look way too big in proportion to 'Pim Fortuyn'. Perspective does not make sense either. It is clear from the **area just around the head** of 'Fortuyn' that whoever is lying there on the street, has been digitally **pasted in**. Zoom in very close to the head and you can see the disparity around the head. In other words, the man lying on the street has been edited in the picture **later**.

The little bottle between the '2' and '3' sign looks also pasted in and seems to 'float'. The light on the bottle does not fit the context.

The other problem is that the feet of the body are pointing towards the parked cars, whereas in the [video images](#), the body should be **parallel** to the parked cars. Either the body was rotated or the body has been placed in.

We have another clue from another photo, this time from the 'NRC Handelsblad', another prominent newspaper in the Netherlands.



You can see that the body has a complete different position. The feet are now pointing to the exit of the car park, almost parallel to the cars on the right side. And where are the ambulances? Where is the hearse? A hearse is a car to transport coffins of the deceased.

The men in the blue hazmat suits can be seen, just looking at the body. But they **told us** that Fortuyn had been taken away **before** the technical investigators were arriving to do their job. We can see them in their blue suits with Fortuyn allegedly lying dead in the parking lot. Where are their technical cases with all the equipment? They just took everything away, including the ambulances and just left Fortuyn there. Just looking at him lying dead. Yes, very believable. As you can see, just like many other hoaxes, they can't get the story right. And they don't have to, because the majority will believe any nonsense they are told.

Do you see the cars on the right side? Notice the dark blue car next to the Jaguar?

Have a look at the faked photo below. The dark blue car next to the Jaguar, is **missing**. You would say that the blue car left for home. Ok, so the blue car ran over Fortuyn to get

out right? Or they moved the body to let the car out? They are not allowed to move the body until the technical research is done. When it is declared done, **then** you can move the body, preferably into an ambulance or hearse. But the car is **gone** and the body is still there. We just saw above that no ambulances or hearse were present and the body was still lying there on the street. Can you see how you are being fleeced?

How did the blue car get out? I tell you how.

The actor, playing Fortuyn stood up and repositioned. It was **all staged**. Compare the two pictures and you see that they have been messing with the pictures to publish them the next day on May 7th in all the major publications. Why tamper with the pictures? Because they are hiding something. Because it is all a hoax.



So the two pictures **do not match**. Another disturbing detail is that the face of the body is **over-lit**. It has too much light compared to the surroundings. Also zoom in and see for yourself: the photoshop contours reveal editing.

Dave Abspoel, the journalist who got there immediately after the 'assassination' had declared various times that he saw a bullet wound on the **left side** of the head just above the **left ear**. Did he forget to mention the apparently **massive** wound on the **right top side** of the head? Why did he not mention that? Look at the picture, there is a massive red mesh compress on the right side of the body's head.

So that is a **huge problem**, is it not? Volkert van der Graaf allegedly moved around the back of Fortuyn and shot the left side of the head just above the left ear (which we cannot see) and then moved quickly around to shoot Fortuyn on the right side up from the front? Take a good look at the picture again. Where is that wound we see? It is on the **right side** from the **front**.

So whatever van der Graaf or the mainstream media is saying, including first witness Dave Abspoel, the stories **are not congruent**. This means somebody is lying. Since the mainstream still claims the Van de Graaf testimony, I say the mainstream is lying. Which we already know they were doing. But why lie?

There is another problem coming from a picture from a different angle.



Here we can see a 'bullet wound' on the top of the body's head. So what did Volkert van der Graaf do? Jump in the air and shoot him from above? More clear incongruencies. Yeah, you would say, 'there was a second shooter on the roof'. We will look at that also later on, but you will see that does not check out either.


Also, **where** is that wound on the **left side** of the head where Dave Abspoel allegedly saw a head shot? Where is that? We can only see a bullet wound at the top of the head.

Go back to the main photo shopped picture: What is the deal with the plastic bag around the right hand of the body? Makes no sense. The bullets went to the direction of the head. What has the right hand to do with it? They sealed it in order that something in his right hand needs to be preserved as it was during the 'murder'. Very strange and nonsensical.

Then, have a look at this closeup of the body's **right ear**:



As you can see it is **oval**. Oval means that there are no sharp edges or corners. Also notice the distinct **fold** in the **earlobe**. Now have a look at Fortuyn's **real right ear** from pictures of him from the internet.



As you can see Pim Fortuyn's **right ear** is **not oval** but has a distinct point on it on the top. Also there is **no fold in the earlobe** of the real Pim Fortuyn. The real ear of Pim Fortuyn and the one in the picture of the 'assassination' **do not match**. The guy lying or playing dead in the street is **somebody else**. Probably an actor or a mannequin.

There is another problem with the storyline. The Dutch extra news of that day mentioned that at 7pm Fortuyn was taken away under white sheets with an ambulance. We already know this is a lie, because we just saw a picture with him lying dead in the street and no ambulances in sight. But during the same news program, they changed the

story that Fortuyn was taken away by helicopter. After which, during the [8 o' clock news program](#), they changed it back to that Fortuyn was taken away by ambulance. But then showed images that Fortuyn allegedly was taken away in a hearse. So which was it? They can't get the story right, which is a huge indicator this is a **staged event**.

They also mentioned that the technical investigators arrived **after** Fortuyn was taken away. Which is not what we see in the picture above: Fortuyn was still lying on the street when the men in their blue hazmat suits, the technical investigators, were standing next to the body. But Fortuyn already was taken away. So which story is it? Was he taken away before the technical investigators or not? Again, they can't get the story right.

Fortuyn did mention in his 'last' radio interview with Ruud de Wild: "I am not going to die soon, I will become 87 years old." Why did Fortuyn say that after months of complaining that he felt unsafe and that he thought his life was in danger? Was it all show? Was it all staged? Did he know he was going to play out something, but in reality he knew he was not going to die? Why give out contradicting remarks? Why play around with such an important topic of private security? Why was he fearing for his life and casually mentioning he will become old? Sounds like doublespeak to me.

This also ties in to journalist [Job Frieszo](#), who claimed at the 1h07m30s mark of the same [news program](#), that Fortuyn used a portion of the campaign money to protect his team against threats. What? You have to be kidding me. So Fortuyn used to hire security for his unknown workers, but not spend one dime on security for himself, the main target? Yeah, that makes sense. So who was lying about this? Fortuyn or Frieszo? Probably **both**. Frieszo and Fortuyn also had a heated history of allegations and animosity and it is perhaps poetic that these two came together during the events of May the 6th. Why? Because they were in on it **together**. Behind the curtains working together and in front of the curtains being enemies, a trick that has been played out many times with these hoaxed events.

Back to the picture. The technical investigators have to do their work while the body is **still present** to have the **most accurate** picture of what truly happened. It does **not** make any sense to take the body away and then start the investigations. It seems they simply cannot get the story right.

As if everything was planned, in the same news program of the Dutch Broadcasting company NOS, one hour after the 'assassination', they showed a 'portrait' or small video of moments in Fortuyn's life. What? Did they have that ready? So quick? Just one hour after his alleged murder? Wow.

In that same [video](#) we see Fortuyn doing the 'hidden hand' gesture. A very important Jewish and Freemasonic gesture to signal to people 'in the know'. You can see it at the 48min30sec mark. So we have to question the integrity of Fortuyn in this whole story and that is also a big indication of a **hoaxed event**.

Then we see the hoax being played out in the media. In the same video we see a bunch of hypocritical politicians declare how 'horrible' all of this was. Something to mention is the unity of several politicians, who were saying that this is a 'blow to our democracy', as if all of them knew the script and knew what to say.

We have seen that with many faked events, in which officials seem to sing from the same song sheet.

1:04:48 is an interesting moment. We get a Freudian slip: Bozo Roger van Boxtel says 'I hope they will catch the perpetrator'. What do you mean perpetrator? How do you know it is just one? At that point in time, around 7:30 pm, it was not known if it would have been one or more people involved. [Roger van Boxtel](#) has been exposed as a cheater and had to step down in June of 2023 for [exam fraud](#) so we are in good company already.

Let's have a look at all the main actors in this hoax.

Volkert van der Graaf

This is the alleged killer of Fortuyn. He is the Lee Harvey Oswald of the Netherlands. Always the same story: The lone nut who assassinates a prominent figure in society for an asinine reason and gets the full blame for it. It has many parallels with the JFK's faked death as well. You can read about that [here](#).

As usual wikipedia is pushing a [fabricated story](#) about Van der Graaf. Here is a paragraph:

The details of the murder emerged later; the accounts of the investigators and Van der Graaf were consistent. He had planned the attack using information obtained from the Internet; printouts of a map of the scene of the crime and schedules of Fortuyn's appearances were found in his car. In two boxes of cartridges found at his home, seven cartridges were missing, the exact number loaded in his gun. The attack has been described as the work of a single person, an amateur shooter who used a relatively simple plan and did not prepare a good escape route.

He planned the attack using information obtained from the Internet. What do you think, that we are, three year olds? Of course, print outs were found in the car of Volkert van der Graaf about Fortuyn's media appearances. How striking. Boxes of bullets were found at home and the exact amount of bullets were missing. How convenient, no? Also, they found self-made bombs in his house that were hidden in condoms, of which Van der Graaf said he 'forgot about them'. They are having a laugh behind closed doors with this one, I can assure you. This whole thing is a classic story to paint the perpetrator as the 'bad guy', by just associating him with things that people would see as 'criminal'. It is almost too easy.

You see also how it is formulated above: 'The attack has been **described** as...'. Why not say, the attack **was** the work of a single person? It is described deliberately vague, because it was **staged**. It was not real. Volkert van der Graaf did not spend one day in jail and Pim Fortuyn is **not** dead. It is all **perception** to mess with your mind.

Further on, it is mentioned that Van der Graaf hand latex gloves. Ok. So why did he need to wrap plastic around the gun then? Makes no sense. Also, the gun was identified from a previous robbery with DNA **still on the gun**. What? You think we are **that** stupid in believing this crap? And who's DNA was it? And why wasn't that wiped off?

You see all these bullshit explanations do not make any sense whatsoever. They really take you for a fool.

But they don't stop there. Volkert van der Graaf allegedly took the afternoon off from work due to 'beautiful weather'. Because that is what most people do when they are at work. If it is nice outside, they just tell their boss that they take the afternoon off.

But wait, there is more BS. It is even stated that Van der Graaf hid in the bushes and could hear parts of the radio interview of Fortuyn on speakers outside. Because that is what they usually do in media parks: they broadcast their shows through speakers to a carpark outside where there is nobody around.

Do you now see what is happening here? They are just messing with you and laughing behind closed doors. It is all bullshit and a hoax. You can read the rest of the story on [wikipedia](#) as it is full of nonsensical bullshit that I am not going to repeat here.

Van der Graaf was quickly caught. About a year later he was 'sentenced' to 18 years. I already told you he did not spend a second in jail. It was all make-belief for the masses.

If we look at Van der Graaf's genealogy we find nothing on wikipedia. No mentioning of his parents, which is always a red flag. He worked litigating against animal and fur farmers and had been a co-founder of an environmental organization. Nothing involving standing up for right of Muslims or any other points that Fortuyn was arguing for. So his motive makes no sense whatsoever. All of this is just window dressing.

My take is that Volkert van der Graaf is an AIVD agent, working for the secret services, who has played out a hoax on the masses. They carefully built up his story and image over the years to use it at some point in the future.

He spent those 'years in jail' somewhere abroad where nobody would recognize him. Maybe on the same spook compound where Fortuyn was retiring from his hoax. In his fake trial he was represented by [Britta Bohler](#), a lawyer and former member of the Dutch Senate for the Green Left party. That is a huge red flag. She was a Marxist too. Van der Graaf was thus represented by one of the insiders of the people in power. Now we see the snakes crawl out of their hole to show their faces.

You do not get to the Senate if you are not **connected** and if you are not in one of the Dutch secret societies. He could have chosen any lawyer, so why choose her? This woman has been involved in many trials with many spooks, so it is clear she is highly connected to be part of fake trials in so-call human right cases and whatnot. You can read about that on her wiki page. It is clear from all her activities that she is no ordinary lawyer, but a highly connected one. Most definitely in a secret organization and perhaps connected to the AIVD higher-ups or the controlling Jewish families.

Main stream Conspiracy Theories

During the months after Fortuyn's 'assassination', various people claimed that Volkert van der Graaf did not act alone and that there was a **second shooter**. Classic misdirection. Why is this misdirection? Because even people from Fortuyn's party who made it into government were suggesting that. The most prominent was [Mat Herben](#), the guy who got the task to lead Fortuyn's party after the 'assassination'. Mat Herben is an admitted freemason and was a sidekick of Fortuyn. Always sneaking and skulking on the sidelines. Like a little pathetic snake. You can see him most of the time at Fortuyn

side during the months leading up to the elections, like in [this video](#) at the 42min mark. That fragment was even shown during the first breaking news on May 6th. How telling no? Mat Herben was also present at many public media appearances of Fortuyn. Always snailing around in the background.

The fact that they admitted he is a freemason is to present freemasonry as a 'hobby' of old men. Like something not very important and relevant. But what they do not tell you is that the very high ranked masons (the high degree ones) **are** very important and run the country.

Low degree mason Herben was given **one task**: To run Fortuyn's party **into the ground** as a second phase of the demoralization of the Dutch population and the complete burial of all 'right wing' ideas. This was to make sure that no 'right wing' ideas would ever get any power in government. It was done like this to show that 'right wingers' are unfit to rule and that they only create chaos. It is all by design.

Phase one was the elimination of the 'hope' and 'symbol' of a better Netherlands that many saw in Fortuyn. Second phase was to show that whoever was associated with Fortuyn, thus his party members, were all clowns that did not belong in government.

And that is what Herben did. He skillfully demolished the remaining hope of change. In just 87 days, Herben and his numbnuts party buddies, completely destroyed Fortuyn's party. It only took internal party 'fights' and mismanagement to let Fortuyn's party fall like a rock. Obviously, the newly formed government fell along with the demise of Fortuyn's party. Herben had done his job well.

Volkert van der Graaf allegedly confessed in a mental institution to the killing of Fortuyn, but as usual, those confessions were never made public, so we can only guess and fantasize about it.

But there is a simple reason those confessions were never made public: **there was no assassination**. They have you **believe** there was, but there wasn't, that's why they cannot make it public. Van der Graaf even had the Dutch minister of Justice drop the requirements for him to be constantly surveilled and in isolation. What inmate has the influence to have a Minister intervene? A highly connected one. One that is on the payroll of the AIVD. You see how they are toying with you? As if a convict can make demands to a Minister. You have to live in la la-land to believe any of this.

One interesting element from the court testimony of Van der Graaf was that he claimed he would not have killed Fortuyn **if Fortuyn had bodyguards** with him. That ties back into the BS story of personal protection that I exposed a few pages back.

We have to believe that his whole 'assassination' came down to one miscalculation by Fortuyn that he didn't take bodyguards with him. If Fortuyn would just have gotten some security, he would still be alive! What a bummer! What a tragedy!

No, I say, what a mind-screw!

Again, the argument of a security detail for Fortuyn is 100% moot and thus this whole thing is a hoax.

Van der Graaf got **18** years in prison. They **love** that number. Aces and eights. You know that you are being fleeced and that we are dealing with a massive faked event.

One notable fact is that in the Netherlands it is tradition to remember the deaths of the second world war on May 4th. It is also customary to celebrate the 'liberation' of the nation from the Nazi's of World war II on May 5th. And Fortuyn was 'assassinated' on May 6th. Wasn't it nice of Volkert van der Graaf to just wait until the formalities were over to drop Fortuyn? He could also have done it on the 4th or 5th as Fortuyn was all over the place, giving interviews, even on those two special days. Why the 6th?

Is this again a hint of a staged event and directed from a national level? If Fortuyn was dropped on the 4th or 5th wouldn't it have messed with the other events big time? So it is again an indicator that they had to get the formalities out of the way before this hoax could be played out on the masses.

Now let's have a look at the other bozos who were involved in this event. One of them is Fortuyn's chauffeur Hans Smolders.

Hans Smolders

Smolders allegedly ran after Volkert van der Graaf after the 'assassination'. He was involved in politics after the Fortuyn event and also later for the 'Forum for Democracy' party in the Netherlands. That party is controlled opposition through which leader **Thierry Baudet** has been given the same mission that was given to Fortuyn: To completely implode the 'right-wing' ideas, ridicule any developments to real change and demoralize people. Baudet's party was just another ship that needed to be sunk. It was a vehicle to trap the people who see the evil and corruption in society but lack the public and political voice to do anything about it.

That is also what happened to Forum for Democracy: Freemason and AIVD agent Thierry Baudet came up during a Referendum about Ukraine and hijacked the public debate about that. Baudet was heavily backed by many other high degree masons and prominent people in the Netherlands. He basically functioned as a **mouse trap**, luring right-wing thinking people to follow him. People were lured on the Baudet boat.

After a few years, he got himself in so many ridiculous controversies and scandals, that you could see he was gradually destroying the 'right-wing' ideology by **blowing up** the **boat** which he lured so many people on. Once the boat sank, many left the Forum for Democracy party, both voters and party members.

You know he is a controlled opposition agent by the fact that he declares himself a conspiracy theorist. What that means is that anyone associated with him will be ridiculed and ostracized.

That is exactly what the elite want: A warning to anyone flirting with any ideas of real change or anyone who is against the mainstream narrative to be labeled 'conspiracy theorist'.

In the last election, Baudet's party got a mere 3 seats in the 150 available seats in the Dutch House of Representatives, so that boat is almost gone.

Another controlled opposition party is the Party of Freedom of [Geert Wilders](#), another AIVD deceiving spook who has the same mission: Trap as many 'dissenters' or voters as possible, but never get into the government. Always give them **false hope** and keep on talking, but never doing anything.

Wilders' task is to schmooze the Fortuyn voters into fantasies and to always get the short end of the stick.

It is the same in the last elections. Despite the fact that Wilders won the majority, I can assure you, **he will never rule**. It is all theater and he is controlling the opposition. Whoever voted for him did so in vain. Wilders, who has both the Dutch and Israeli nationality, was a nobody in parliament before 2002, but after the 'assassination' of Fortuyn, he rose to prominence like a rocket. All planned of course. He simply took over the narrative from Fortuyn. It is so obvious, a child could see that.

The party of Wilders is a clear front for the AIVD and they use it as another **mouse trap**. Trap those voters and neutralize them.

With every step closer to influence that Wilders takes, the more distant actual power will be and the harsher the trauma and humiliation will be for all those voters who voted for him. Wilders will never rule. It is a classic psy-op and something to study even deeper.

[Wikipedia](#) also affirms this:

"conflicts in the rudderless LPF quickly collapsed the cabinet, forcing new elections. By the following year, the party had lost support, winning only eight seats in the 2003 elections. It won no seats in the 2006 elections, by which time the Party for Freedom, led by Geert Wilders, had emerged as a successor"

This is called **controlled demolition**. And it worked out perfectly.

So Hans Smolders was part of the Thierry Baudet controlled opposition psy-op. Just as he was with Fortuyn. Would I trust anything Smolders said about Fortuyn's assassination? No. He was too closely involved and most definitely in on the hoax. He is probably also a freemason from the same club of Fortuyn, Herben and the rest of them.

Ruud de Wild

I already covered De Wild's incongruencies in his testimony about the Fortuyn 'assassination'. But there is another inconsistency within his story. On Van der Graaf's [wiki page](#) we can read that [Ruud de Wild](#) also tried to fend off a second bullet, 'as he received a bullet in the bag used for a shield'. What does that even mean? The bullet went through the bag? In the bag was the wooden box with wine. I assure you that a bullet will go easily through a wine box and also glass. So he protected himself with a winebox? Right. More fantasy. So that explains the missing sixth bullet that did not hit Fortuyn? Or which bullet was it?

In a [later interview](#) he mentioned the bullet going through the bag but apparently missing De Wild's head. Not believable. Nobody raises a bag that fast to fend off a bullet. I can assure you that a bullet has long gone through anybody's skull before anyone can react to pull up a heavy bag to protect their head. It is the usual nonsense we get from faked stories. In that interview, De Wild also mentioned that he got that ear

bleed. Nobody criticizes his illogical story. De Wild again claims the impossibility that he got the ear bleed from the pressure of the gun. Maybe he got an ear bleed from lying through his ears?

So you can see that things do not match here. He is lying or making stuff up. Most definitely both. Why would he be lying? Because **all of it was staged** and he was **in on it**. You don't get a radio show in the Netherlands if you are not connected or part of a secret club. Period. It wasn't because of his talent, I can assure you of that.

Dave Abspoel

I already covered some of the inconsistencies in Abspoel's testimony, so I will not go too much into this. Abspoel has a [IMDB page](#) with one movie on it. A movie about Fortuyn called 06/05. Made by Theo van Gogh. We will hit him later on. The movie is the usual controlled opposition propaganda.

But what about the people who tried to reanimate Fortuyn and apply heart massage? Have you ever wondered how easy it is to just fake an emergency situation with a fitted ambulance and have a bunch of actors act as crisis doctors? Remember that the area was super confined. It was sealed off very quickly and there were very few people witnessing what was happening. We **do not** have any footage of the shooting. We do not have any footage of Fortuyn walking outside of the media studio. We only have testimonies of Ruud de Wild, Hans Smolders and a few others. **All in on it**.

When you are part of a secret club, you swear a vow to never disclose anything. That goes very deep with these people. Their real life depends on it and also their careers, status and money. Most of these people are immoral bastards, so you can't expect much goodness of them.

Politicians like Fortuyn's chauffeur, or journalists like Frieszo and Abspoel got their positions by connections and being part of these clubs. All of these people are controlled from behind the curtains and they sure will not ever mention anything. All people you see on TV are controlled. It is all staged and not real.

Other Conspiracies

All conspiracies have one thing in common: They assume Pim Fortuyn to have been assassinated. In other words, they **all** sell the event as **real**. I have shown you that it is **not real**.

Most of the conspiracy theories argue that there must have been a second shooter and thus that the government was involved. Because Pim Fortuyn became a threat to the establishment, they killed him. What all these theories overlook is that Fortuyn **was part of the establishment**. He was part of the secret clubs. He would never have been allowed to be in the spotlights unless he was **being prepared** for more than ten years through his books, media appearances and his teaching positions. They prepared him meticulously. Nothing happens by chance, especially in these high stakes games.

According to this [conspiracy theory](#), (translate it from Dutch to English) Volkert van der Graaf was apprehended just six minutes after the 'murder' and it seemed the police was waiting there for him, hinting that it was planned and that there had to be at least

one more shooter. It also goes on that Pim Fortuyn was in grave danger and that the Dutch political establishment just ignored that he was under threat.

I already proved that 'being under threat' was a moot argument as Fortuyn could have gotten free protection if he just acted. It was scripted in to **justify a faked death**.

But it gets better. Former **ballerina** and **actress** [Ine Veen](#) wrote a book with the conclusion: There was a second shooter, who was waiting on the roof of the media building with a sniper gun. The JFK 'assassination' repeated again. But this time in the Netherlands.

So now we get our sources from a ballerina and actress? Right. They are telling you: We are dancing and toying with you and it was all **acted**. They are telling you right in your face. Her source: A secret report of 148 pages. Wow! Can we please have a look at this report? Of course not, it is **secret** and nobody can have access to the report, except a nobody actress who will tell you the truth! You have to be rolling on the floor laughing at this bamboozlement.

Why would the AIVD, the Dutch secret service, give a secret report to an actress? To **control** the **opposition**. That's why. BOTH sides of the polarity are given a lie: That Pim Fortuyn died that day. Doesn't matter what is in the report. Throw them a bone and feed the controlled conspiracy. It is an old school trick. Don't fall for it.

The title of Ine Veen's book is 'Murder on behalf of the Crown? The ultimate life of Pim Fortuyn'. It is her **only** ever written book. My guess is very simple: She **never** wrote this book herself. It was written in the basement of the AIVD and she was chosen, for whatever reason, to slap her name on it. You can still buy the book if you are interested.

In the book she suggest that the Dutch Royals, the Crown, ordered the 'assassination' and that the AIVD executed it. The most incredible fact is that Ine Veen was also decorated with a royal honor **by the Crown**. You see, they are just toying with you. You can't take anything seriously from these people.

Even with the royal honor, it did not stop her to investigate the truth, what a heroine! Downside is that Ine Veen refuses to give interviews and also claims that politicians have given her secret reports for her book. Because that is what you normally do as a politician to try to sabotage your own cover-up. Come on people! Can't you see you are being fleeced and taken for a fool?

If there was a second shooter, then surely he had to have had access to the roof of the media building. Who let him on that roof? Ine Veen doesn't even ask that question.

But there are more conspiracy theories that also seem to lead to what I say, but **not one of them** conclude that Pim Fortuyn faked his death. This [guy on facebook](#) gives us some pictures that Pim Fortuyn had a little statue of Lucifer on his window table and claims that Pim Fortuyn was a freemason as well. Something that I am also sure of. It is a shame that this facebook guy presents the right dots, but fails to connect one essential dot: That **Pim Fortuyn faked his death**. That would be the most logical explanation for his arguments. You don't kill a fellow obedient brother of the freemasonic craft, you **fake his death**.

[This video](#) on the death of Fortuyn goes in very deep detail and is well researched. Accompanied with [this article](#) (translate from Dutch to your language). The problem of this video is that it sells the book of Ine Veen as real. There is a logical error with that book: Why would the AIVD give a secret report to an actress and ballerina and not to a serious investigator with some credentials like the guy from the video and article? Why would they give that to a person who never wrote a book in her life and was only busy with entertainment? Because, people want to be entertained and **not** told the truth. Most people do not like the truth, they just want to consume and be ignorant. It is very much **symbolic**.

It is just another humiliation to the Dutch population. Telling them right to their face that they are ignorant lazy consumers who like the evil in society instead of fighting for truth and justice. They like actresses instead of real researchers.

So they gave it to an entertainer, to go out there and entertain the masses with controlled opposition stories. I already told you that the most likely scenario is that the book was written by the AIVD and marketed through Ine Veen to put a controlled opposition story out there for the folks that fall for the 'alternative media'. It is another mouse trap.

Another red flag is that the author of the aforementioned video and article has appeared on the Dutch mainstream media channels more than once. Now why would they allow that? If he speaks the truth, why give somebody airtime like that? It makes no sense. No ruler will ever put somebody in front of the cameras if it would be a direct threat to the perpetual lie that keeps the millions of slaves in line. It will never happen. So think about that question. Why did they put him in front of the cameras?

Why would they allow him to tell the truth on television and wake people up? This guy even set up his own political party in 2012. Why was that allowed? It is very simple: Because by his own volition, he was promoting the **other side of the polarity**. He was **useful** to the controllers. He was the antithesis to the mainstream to keep people divided and confused. I am **not** saying he is part of the filthy elite or a secret society. He was, at least, **useful** to the elites. Knowingly or unknowingly. Why? Because not **anywhere** does he mention the **option** that Fortuyn **faked his death**. This third option is something that is very rare to enter anybody's mind, because brainwashing goes very deep and exists on many levels in the mind of people. Most people live in the Hegelian dialectic and will never question **both sides** of the polarity.

The emotions about Fortuyn and his savior image runs very deep in the psychology of the Dutch population. Many are still unable to let Fortuyn go. Many still miss him and many are still devastated. That is by **design**. To **punish** the Dutch for ever thinking against the status quo. For many it is a torment to even think about Fortuyn.

The death of Fortuyn was a devastating psychological blow which made many people angry, sad and defeated. Many became cynical and without hope. That is the perfect outcome for any controller, because people like that give up and become obedient slaves and consumers, who are basically told with these hoaxes to shut up, consume and obey. And that is what they do.

The irony is that that is exactly what you get for being ignorant and evil, which most people all over the world are.

People that still believe the fairytales go with Baudet or Wilders nowadays, but like I showed, they are both controlled opposition, both agents of the AIVD and both freemasons that play by the same script. They are also very good actors. It is one of many traps to control the minds of the people who do not like the truth. They just want some savior like Fortuyn, Wilders or Baudet to take care of the problems. Hypothetically.

These problems are never going to go away, unless these lazy people don't get off their fat asses and start looking for the truth themselves. And doing something about it.

Then we have [this documentary](#) of BNN, a mainstream media organization that pretends to 'go against the grain'. It's all bullshit. It is run by Dutch freemasons and it is another front for the AIVD. It is a organization that is within the Dutch media conglomeration. It is part of the system. It is controlled opposition, just like the rest.

BNN made the documentary with the usual cliches of opposition control: they speculate that there should have been a second shooter, of course. They also throw in the speculation that Pim Fortuyn was against the purchase of the American JSF fighter jet and that is a potential reason that got him killed. But also that argument is moot.

In the same documentary, it is said that Fortuyn had a meeting with the US ambassador and some top air force officials to talk about the JSF, **two days** before his assassination (26min30sec mark of the video). In that meeting, Fortuyn allegedly changed his mind and became more positive about the JSF. Whether this meeting ever took place or not is not that relevant. What is relevant is what happened on the day that Fortuyn 'died'.

On that day, the 6th of May, Fortuyn gave a radio interview in the morning, which we can hear clearly in the video at the 27min20sec mark, that the Americans **could count on** the Dutch **participation** in the JSF project if it was up to Fortuyn.

You can't get it clearer than that. Fortuyn was **playing theater** to be against the JSF. He was in fact **for it**. So all this JSF bullshit is just theater. And why was he for it? Because Fortuyn was a freemason that took orders and obeyed them. He was no rogue guy who wanted the best for the Dutch people. He was **part of the club** and as he swore the freemasonic oath, he had to obey, which he did.

The documentary suggests that Mat Herben was blackmailing Fortuyn, but that is all bullshit because **ALL freemasons** are **blackmailable**. They are all crooks and the top of the elite **only put people in power** who they can **control** through blackmail. So I am 100% sure Fortuyn was blackmailable, but that is not the reason he changed his mind.

Fortuyn prepared himself that morning by saying the JSF was ok, because he knew he was going to fake his death that evening and his future party would have to vote in favor of that damn airplane.

This is another clear clue why the most likely scenario is that they faked Fortuyn's death and he complied. Why? Because that is what **he had always done** his **entire life**. He was an **actor**, playing out the role that was **assigned to him**. He obeyed orders that were given to him. It is as simple as that.

So why did they allow BNN to make this conspiracy documentary? Because they are leading and controlling the opposition. It is **perception control**. It is not fact, it is just playing the polarity and feeding people **another false version**.

As seen before with Dave Abspoel and his IMDB profile, there is also a movie that came out about the 'assassination' of Fortuyn. It is called 06/05 and makes similar suggestions as the BNN documentary. It was directed by [Theo Van Gogh](#), the son of an AIVD agent Johan van Gogh. Theo's mother is Anneke Vonhoff, a niece of Henk Vonhoff, one of the founders of the prominent VVD political party in the Netherlands. You see that all these bozos are connected and you just need a handful of them to run any hoax you want.

You can be certain that Theo van Gogh was also an AIVD agent. He came from the same intelligence family, worked in the media and was allowed to make a controlled opposition movie about Fortuyn. Riddled with lies of course.

911 days after the Fortuyn hoax, van Gogh [faked his own death](#) on November 2nd, 2004. He was allegedly shot with 8 bullets and pierced with a machete knife (picture) and a smaller fillet knife. Here is the 'proof':



Notice anything? That's right, where is all the blood? Where are all the holes of the bullets?

Just wake up, this is another faked death and a very obvious one. Van Gogh's mission as agent of the AIVD was completed. The Muslims versus Dutch polarization was complete.

I can image that he also had enough of the media scrapings and constant bullshit propaganda, so he faked his own death with the help of all his friends at the AIVD and in the media. What you see above is probably an actor posing for him. Theo van Gogh is probably laughing his butt off on some tropical island, smoking 50 cigarettes a day and drinking cocktails until morning.

But we are not done here. The faked deaths kept on coming. This time with [Peter R. De Vries](#), another media bozo, former sergeant in the army and 'crime investigator' who was known for 'covering high-profile' criminal cases in the Netherlands. In reality, he was an AIVD agent working the media and pushing hoaxes and other faked events on

the masses, like the fake [Natalee Holloway hoax](#). He most likely got his AIVD position in the time that he was with the army around 1976-1977.

De Vries was also involved in selling the [fake JFK murder](#) as real. He was famous for 'exposing' criminals from the Dutch mafia network in the Netherlands, who in fact were just actors and AIVD agents posing for criminals like Cor van Hout and Willem Holleeder. He also sold the fake Freddy Heineken kidnapping as real.

De Vries was born in Aalsmeer and grew up in Amstelveen, a top Jewish neighborhood near Amsterdam. De Vries is probably Jewish. Another asinine fact about De Vries is that he was married to an unidentified woman for 35 years. What? What do you mean unidentified? There are public records of marriages, so again, they are just fleecing you and assuming you will believe this garbage. The fact that that information is not disclosed, is that something fishy is behind it, which they do not want you to know. No name, no questions, simple as that. This woman was probably Jewish as well.

De Vries also opted out with his faked death in 2021. Just like Fortuyn, he left a TV studio, this time in Amsterdam and 'was shot in his head'. Nine days later, he died of his 'wounds'. They really love that number **nine**. Fortuyn 'died' nine days before the elections. As you can see, the patterns are repeating constantly, because all these bozos are using the **same script** and deceptions.

Van Gogh's hoax works again for the demoralization of the Dutch population and also to pour fuel on the fire between the native Dutch people and the Dutch Muslim community. More divide and conquer and more polarization. The perpetrator allegedly was Mohammed Bouyeri, a Muslim, who was most likely an AIVD asset who played his role. You can be sure that this Bouyeri never spent one second in jail.

So this whole affair is riddled with hoaxes, psychological operations, lies, deception and rampant propaganda, adding to a long list of hoaxes in the Netherlands to mess with the minds of the Dutch people.

So what really happened to Fortuyn?

It is very simple. I already outlined the **reason why** they faked Fortuyn's death so no need to repeat that again.

This whole thing was carefully prepared and planned by the AIVD, media higher-ups and the top of Dutch freemasonry. They chose a very tightly confined space, the outside media parking lot, where they could control the narrative and play out the hoax. It was planned in concordance with the AIVD and the media studios.

Fortuyn went to the TV studio's in Hilversum and did his live interview with Ruud de Wild. Before he went out of the studio, he went to the bathroom. Here is where they **swapped him**. The real Fortuyn was directed out through another door, was put in a car and driven off to get him to his hideout location, never to be seen again.

In comes a Fortuyn look-alike who is walking outside. Ruud de Wild, Hans Smolders, Dave Abspoel, Volkert van der Graaf en a couple of others were in on the hoax. They are ALL freemasons and all of them knew how to play this hoax. All carefully prepared and scripted. As there is no footage of Fortuyn actually walking outside and being shot, the

Fortuyn look-alike just played dead. Fake blood and a couple of false testimony's of people involved, was all that was necessary to control the narrative. Abspoel was the voice to the media, Van der Graaf the guy to be caught, De Wild the witness and the Fortuyn's driver to give credence to the culpability of de Graaf by chasing him.

Quite quickly, the police was there and sealed everything off. They were impossibly quick to apprehend Van der Graaf, so they were in on it as well. Nobody has a real good film of Fortuyn. No close-up picture, only the fake one that we have previously seen.

The ambulances were real and used to give it an impression that something real was happening. I am sure that if you run the ambulances number plates, they would check out. They were just borrowed for that day from somewhere nearby. Either from a hospital, or a garag where they were 'serviced'.

The people dealing with 're-animating' Fortuyn were actors. All in on it. All of them were freemasons who got their orders. In the pictures it can be seen that the two ambulances were gone while 'Fortuyn' was lying in his own 'blood'. That picture might be fake as well. No clue how the Fortuyn actor was carried away. No ambulances or hearse to be seen. While they shipped off the actor, everybody packed up and the media frenzy started. The politicians got their little cue cards and all of them were off to the cameras.

The political campaigns stopped and Fortuyn's party got a lot of sympathy votes. Mat Herben was chosen by the freemasons to lead it straight into the ground, which he did. New elections were announced after the government fell and the rest is history.

What was left behind?

A complete **traumatized** and **demoralized** Dutch population. The goal was achieved.

The seed of cynicism and emptiness was planted in the minds of the Dutch people with all these hoaxes. What sprouted, grew over the years, with the fake 'assassination' hoax of AIVD agent Theo van Gogh, with the rise of AIVD & Mossad agent and freemason Geert Wilders, with the rise of AIVD agent and freemason Thierry Baudet and with the fake 'assassination' of AIVD agent and freemason Peter R. de Vries.

Hoax after hoax after hoax after hoax. Never ending stream of drivel, deception, propaganda and lies. A never ending stream of confusion and misdirection to keep your eyes off the really important things. To keep you confused and divided. To keep you angry and sad.

And they are not done with you yet. Next up is the fake 2023 governmental elections where Wilders won most seats in parliament, but **will never rule**. They are going to come up with another asinine reason to demoralize the people that voted for Wilders.

They are continuing to toy with the people. They are screwing with their minds, because they know most people have no mind. They assume people are just ignorant slaves that have no desire for the truth. People only have desire to believe fantasies and fairytales.

If that is not going to change, sit back, grab the popcorn, enjoy the show and expect more hoaxes and deceptions going forward.