

The bible was altered

Article based on private opinion & research - First uploaded 4th of September 2023 - last edit 7th of September 2023

By Aurelsson

You know this already or perhaps have assumed it, but in this article I will give proof of it. I will also show you the Masoretic text (modern bible) is not the oldest tradition, but a political adaptation to serve non-religious purposes. For many people this might not even be something new or surprising, but once you dig into this subject, lots of (other) skeletons come out of the closet.

You might even say, "isn't it obvious, with all the versions of the bible out there?" Yes, but those versions claim a single source, but I will show you that source is not even the source at all.

This article is a first in a series of articles that take a good look at what the bible really is. I will tell you off the bat that the bible is not what most people think it is. Nothing esoteric or speculative, just some hard facts from the horse's mouth.

This article will explore hard evidence of the times before Jerusalem was created, that shows the bible has an older source and that the book that became the bible, was altered from the git-go. This is important, because it will become the foundation of the validity of the bible, its true purposes and the people behind it.

The real question is: Is the bible really the word of God or is it more of a technical commercial law-book and a political tool invented by man to have people comply with a certain agenda? Let's explore this question with an ancient group of people who have come out of the shadows and into the public eye, just in the last decade.

The Israelite Samaritans

I suspect not many people know about the Israelite Samaritans. According to what they say about themselves, they are neither Jews nor Samaritans. They do not originate in Judah. That is because the Assyrians named the people of Judah Jews and the people from Samaria, Samaritans. It was a given name for them. They also state that they are not Palestinians, because Palestinians are descendants of Jews or Samaritans who converted to Islam. According to them they are also not Christians, Muslims, Druze, Circassians, Bahai, Shiites,

Alawites or Sunni. They are a very unique community and consider themselves ancient Israelites who are the true keepers of the tradition of the people of Israel, spanning already 127 generations since Joshua. They only recognize the Pentateuch, which technically is the Torah, allegedly handed down by Moses. It is the first five books of the bible, Genesis, Exodus, Leviticus, Numbers and Deuteronomy. So the Israelite Samaritans **only** recognize these five books and nothing more, which is very interesting as we will see later on.

Also, they do not recognize Jerusalem as the holy place chosen by God, rather they claim that the holy place, described in the Bible, is mount **Gerizim**, which is about 47 kilometers, as the crow flies, north of Jerusalem, very near Shechem, in the same region of Samaria or in the ancient region of the tribe of Manasseh. Mount Gerizim was also part of the ancient kingdom of Israel. Judea, where the Jews got their name from, is to the South of Samaria/Israel/Manasseh and can be considered as a different region to their northern neighbor.

So it is important to realize that the Israelite-Samaritans consider themselves **different** from the Jews and also have several different customs than what we know from Judaism. That is nice to know, but the facts are telling something different.

From the outside, it is clear they are Semites and are a different branch of what people would consider a community and culture adhering to Semitic Biblical scriptures. Also Israel was another name of Jacob and all these lines, including the Edomites, who came of the line of Esau, the brother of Jacob, now popularly known as the Khazars, all converge with Jacob, Esau and through the female line, with their mother Rebecca. It is important to always follow the female lines back and see where these people converge.

You could technically say that all of them converge and agree, at least, with the first five books of the bible, although there are differences in translation of their holy books for each of these branches. They **key** to comprehend the political games played with the Bible is to closely analyze this specific branch of Israelite-Samaritans.

In March 2017 the Israelite-Samaritans numbered about 800 people and consider themselves a people seeking peace, functioning as a neutral party in a conflict ridden area. They have good relationships with the leaders of Israel, Palestine and Jordan, so they are involved in politics, but according to them, to seek peace only. Unfortunately that hasn't worked out too well after so many years of never-ending conflict. They claim they have a unique script, language and tradition. That seems true, but we should keep our eye on the ball and realize they are Semites adhering to Biblical scriptures, with their language and script being very close to Hebrew. Their background reveals some explosive information about the history of the Bible.

Ancient texts

The Israelite-Samaritan version of the Pentateuch/Torah is slightly different than the Jewish version, but has some very remarkable differences that are the key to comprehend how the bible was altered and used as political tool to satisfy some very important interests of the time. These interests are still prevalent in our modern day, that is why it is very important to expose what really happened.

According to mainstream sources, between 1946 and 1956 many ancient Jewish manuscript were found in the Qumran Caves in the West Bank on the northern shore of the Dead Sea. People know these manuscripts as the Dead Sea scrolls. Some parts of these manuscripts show the **exact** or **nearly exact** text of the Israelite-Samaritan version of the Torah, whereas the Jewish (Masoretic) text **differs** from these manuscripts with about 6,000 differences. Also the Septuagint Greek translation is also closer to the Israelite Samaritan version of the Torah than the Masoretic translation. We are getting closer to the deceptions.

Dead Sea Scrolls

If the Dead Sea scrolls are authentic, then this means that the Israelite-Samaritan version of the Pentateuch is **older** and is most likely closer to the **original** version of the Torah, **than** the Jewish Masoretic (modern) version. In our current time, the Masoretic version is the authority on Biblical scripture and considered the original source. It isn't. What this also tells us is that in ancient times, there were **various versions** of the Torah in that region and that different sects were using their own preferred version of the Torah. So, which one is the original? What has been changed? And why is the Masoretic text pushed as the original one?

Mount Gerizim vs. Jerusalem

The big difference between Israelite-Samaritanism and Judaism is the **location** of their **sacred center**. For the Jews this is Jerusalem/Temple Mount, but for the Israelite Samaritans it is **mount Gerizim** as stated before. According to the Israelite Samaritan Torah, the sacred center ordained by God to build a temple is mount Gerizim and **not** Jerusalem. Two different nations and thus two different holy places. These two nations are related, but of course, as always, with different political interests. One holy place is in Israel/Samaria (north) and one holy place is in Judea (south). Do you see the political problem here?

Also, the Dead Sea Scrolls are very clear on what was ordained by God: Mount Gerizim. There is no mention of Jerusalem whatsoever. The Dead Sea scrolls are now considered as the authority and closest to the original source of the Torah. It is the original and oldest source of the scriptures that we currently have. This makes the Israelite Samaritan version of the Torah the **oldest** known text of the Bible as we know it. The Masoretic text is thus an **alteration** of that text.

Additionally, the Jews consider the Israelite Samaritans a sect that split off from Judaism, but that is an inversion and a well kept lie that has been exposed in the last decade. As the Dead Sea Scrolls clearly show, it must be that the Jews took the old Israelite Samaritan text and adapted it. But, how did they do it and how do we know? Well, they took Moses for a ride and fudged his **ten commandments**, that's how.

In Exodus 20:1-14 and Deuteronomy 5:6-18 we find the ten commandments. In the Israelite Samaritan version Exodus 20:14 goes much longer in which instructions for the temple of God and its location is given very extensively. That is completely missing in the Masoretic text.

Below is a comparison between the Israelite Samaritan and the Masoretic version, without exact text but short paraphrasing:

#	Israelite Samaritan	Masoretic
0	***not part of the commandments***	I am your God
1	No other gods, no graven images	No other gods, no graven images
2	Do not take God's name in vain	Do not take God's name in vain
3	Remember and observe the Sabbath	Remember and observe the Sabbath
4	Honor your father and mother	Honor your father and mother
5	Do not kill	Do not kill
6	Do not commit adultery	Do not commit adultery
7	Do not steal	Do not steal
8	Do not lie	Do not lie
9	Do not desire what is not yours	Do not desire what is not yours
10	Make God's temple on mount Gerizim	***completely missing***

Notice anything strange? Yes, multiple things:

1. The first commandment of the Masoretic text is not a commandment at all. It is an **announcement**. It is simply God who introduces who God is. No need to obey anything just yet. So that leaves 9 commandments for the Masoretic text. Where is the tenth one?

2. The 10th commandment of the Israelite Samaritan version is a commandment where to build the altar of God, the holy place, on **mount Gerizim**. That is obviously missing in the Masoretic version. The clever trick is that the Masoretic Jews just scrapped the 10th commandment of the Israelite Samaritan Version and for their Masoretic text, made the introduction of God the first commandment. Voila, ten commandments without the pesky need to build anything on mount Gerizim and all the freedom to put it somewhere else. Again, the first commandment in the Masoretic text is not a commandment at all. It is an introduction, but nobody is challenging that or seeing it, so it will do the trick.

Additionally to these tables, there is one big difference between the Israelite Samaritan version and the Masoretic version that involves the question where **exactly** God instructed them to have His dwelling, i.e. where the altar to God should be constructed. Taken from (Israelite Samaritan version) Deuteronomy 27:4-6:

*'And it shall be when you cross the Yaardaan, you shall set up on **Aargaareezem** these stones, which I am commanding you today. And you shall coat them with lime.'*

Aargaareezem is literally spelled out: 'In/On mount Gerizim', where the letters are connected, which was also confirmed by a piece of parchment found in cave 4 in Qumran. This is a clarification of the 10th commandment of the Samaritan version, for which God gave the specific commandment to go to that mountain and build an altar there to Him. The Masoretic fudge is to translate that to 'mount Ebal', which is the **northern** side of the valley where Nablus (the ancient Neapolis) is located. The southern side of this valley is Mount Gerizim. Ebal is **not** Gerizim, so something went wrong there in the Masoretic version. This is also literally confirmed in (Israelite Samaritan version) Deuteronomy 27:12 and 11:29. In Deuteronomy 11:29 we read:

"[...] you shall offer the blessing on Aargaareezem, and the curse on Mount Eebaal."

The Masoretic version correctly translates Aargaareezem in Mount Gerizim:

"[...] thou shalt set the blessing upon mount Gerizim, and the curse upon mount Ebal."

It is strange that this part is translated correctly, but the Deuteronomy 27:4-6 part is not. Why the inconsistency? This Masoretic fudge is continued regarding (Israelite Samaritan version) Deuteronomy 26:2 where God says:

*'[...] and you shall put it in a basket and go to the place where Shehmaa your Eloowwem **has chosen** to dwell His name.'*

In other words, God choose Mount Gerizim for his sacred temple, but the Masoretic text is translated as:

*'[...] and thou shalt put it in a basket and shalt go unto the place which Adonai thy Elohim **will choose** to dwell there.'*

It is like writing a blank cheque. God hasn't decided yet where it will be, so we will need to wait and see later. You have to be kidding right? Why hasn't God decided yet? Because it will be the Masoretic adherents who will decide where they will put that holy dwelling. Convenient no? In other words, this gives room for political games and justifications to put the holy temple anywhere the priests or the interpreters of the text decided to put it. So it allows to play around with the location of a holy place which gives massive religious and political influence on many levels. It also opens the possibility to ignore the original Israelite Samaritan text and go with whatever suits you politically.

What does this bombshell mean? That Jerusalem / Temple Mount has no biblical justification or divine authorization to **be** the holy place. It is just a political center and the bible had to be **altered** to **claim** divine intervention. It is the same as kings claim to rule by the grace of God. It is made up. It is a lie. It is all bullshit and political games. Kings never had and **never** will have any **proof** that God gave them the authority to rule. Just like the Jews have no justification to adhere to Jerusalem as a holy place. There is no foundation or proof to justify any claim of that. As usual, it is deception and trickery that reveals the genesis of Jerusalem. Perhaps you knew that already, but now you have the proof.

Although Deuteronomy 11 and 12 of the Israelite Samaritan version explicitly tells us to build the temple to God on mount Gerizim and that all other places of worship should be destroyed, we find the Masoretic text, just simply ignores all that.

The adherents to the Masoretic text claim that the holy place was chosen and sanctified in the times of David and Solomon, such that the use of 'will choose' is justified and that **Jerusalem** / Temple Mount is meant as the holy place. But we have just seen that that is just the usual political nonsense to fit the interests and narrative of the Jews. Reference 2 (see at the end of the article) gives the translation of the Torah for both the Israelite Samaritan and the Masoretic versions, where these incongruencies are clearly exposed.

The legacy of the Israelite Samaritans

There seems to be a big contradiction in the claims that the Israelite Samaritans are making. On the one side they claim that they never have left the land of Israel and that - compared to the Judean Jews and other tribes - never wandered around (Ref1. p11-12). Yet, at the same time they do claim that they spread in large numbers to the north and south, that they had governors and **kings**, possessed armies and rebelled against foreign invaders. Where did we hear about such people in the past? Oh yeah, with the [Phoenicians](#). So which is it? Did they move or not? I say it is obvious they did move and much more than that. I encourage you to read the above linked article on where the Phoenicians went to get a better comprehension what was actually happening in that region with the Semitic influence over entire nations.

The above mentioned article gives some good background on the Phoenicians, **provided** that you believe that our current history is a mix of mainstream sources plus a whole lot of lies, deceits and fudges. In other words, if you believe that not all history is a lie, but that much has been altered despite there being a layer of truth underneath. I just want you to know that I am still open to both possibilities: Either history is one big lie as so many examples show, or history has some elements of truth which is smeared heavily with blatant lies. The quest continues.

It could be that the Israelite Samaritans were a huge nation and many sects split off from them to have their own version of biblical text, but the root people were and still are the same Semites that were organized as **cooperative families**, intermarrying into royal houses of various nations and controlling international trade, banking and politics, just as it is today.

It is clear though that there is validity in the claim that the Israelite Samaritan version of the Torah represents the oldest know source of the Bible and one that exposes the politics of making Jerusalem the center of Jewish interests and **not** Mount Gerizim. I am not sure why Jerusalem was chosen, but we might find out with more research. I suspect it was separating important interests between Jewish groups and that one powerful group also needed their political base. We have seen that the Judean Jews needed to fudge the original text to get the excuse for choosing that spot for Jerusalem. It is also noteworthy to know that the Israelite Samaritans have preserved the original monotheistic and biblical tradition up until our modern day. According to them, in the 4th of 5th centuries CE they numbered 1.5 million people, but gradually were minimized to a mere 141 people by 1919 due to forced conversions, massacres and persecutions. Was that because they knew something the ruling Semitic families did not want you

to know? Or did they disappear for other non-violent reasons? It is hard to narrow it down without hard evidence.

Remarkable though, what we see here, just as we have seen countless times with the Judeans and other descendants of these Semitic factions, is the prevalent **victimhood** that is emphasized when we look at the history of the Jews. It is always an evil empire like the Assyrians, Persians, Byzantines, Romans or Babylonians who inflicted much sorrow on the Jewish people, whereas at the same time, there is ample proof that all these empires were led by elite families who had Semitic blood, i.e. Phoenician/Jewish families.

These Israelite Samaritans also admit that they had settlements in Tyre and Sidon, which makes them basically Phoenicians. They were also present in the capital of Persia, in Athens, Thessalonica, Delos, Rome, Sicily, Alexandria, many other places in the Mediterranean and around the Red Sea. They also had military power. That is quite remarkable for people who 'never left Israel' and are seeking peace. All of those places were Phoenicians strongholds, ports and commercial centers under Phoenician control. And the Phoenicians never really went away, rather they **changed names** and moved across regions, but wielded the same power as before in different empires. The Phoenicians were like a Phoenix, re-inventing themselves every time they rose from the ashes. They adopted new names and new fronts for the same quest of power, wealth and control. It is perhaps better to name these Semitic elite families that control international trade, banking and politics, **Phoenixians**. That envelops better the mindset they have. The Phoenix is also a prominent symbol in Freemasonry which they also fully control worldwide.

So what we see here with the Israelite Samaritans is another Semitic faction, adhering to biblical scriptures and their own unique interpretation of those sacred texts, but acting in a way concordantly to the other Semitic tribes and nations. It is just that two different texts reveal political influences and we also get a **confirmation** that the **Phoenixians** never really went away, but cleverly built empires behind the curtain, using two different groups of the same Semites.

Semites also love to throw around the word of 'diaspora'. What this word really means is **empire building** from behind the curtain, but posing as victims in front of the curtain.

Final notes on the Israelite Samaritans

It is interesting to look at two aspects of the Israelite Samaritans that show some connections to other traditions that we know.

1. Synagogues: Their synagogues look like mosques. They do not have benches inside, rather carpets and people sit on the floor just like in

Islamic traditions. Women sit in the back of the synagogues. During certain events and prayers, the men bend down on their knees and their heads touch the floor. As Islam is much younger than this Israelite Samaritan tradition, it could be that Islamic traditions have found inspiration from the Israelite Samaritans. It could also be that Islam is another project of the same Semites to gain control over other nations through religious division and influence. That is perhaps something for another article.

2. Ceremonial headdresses: The Israelite Samaritans wear a very known ceremonial headdress: The fez or tarboosh, which popularly is known as a red felt hat in the shape of a truncated cylinder. It was popular in the Ottoman empire. It might be a hint that the elite of the Ottoman empire were connected to the Israelite Samaritan traditions. It is also an attire for certain secret societies, the 'shriners fez', especially oriental (Muslim) secret societies like various Freemasonry lodges and other secret sects in Egypt, Jordan and other Middle Eastern countries. This fez also pops up in freemasonic lodges in the west. According to Wikipedia, the origin of the fez is a mystery, but although there are old pictures of Samaritans without the fez from around the turn of the century, we can be quite sure the origin of the fez is with the Israelite Samaritans and dates back long ago when the land known today as Israel was part of the Ottoman empire. Ataturk outlawed the fez in 1925, but it is highly unlikely that the Israelite Samaritans just recently adapted the headdress as an answer to Ataturk.

The biography of Ataturk is riddled with red flags and uncertainties in genealogy. Some writers pin Ataturk's mother to be Jewish, so that is enough said. Some also have pinned his mother to be of the Donmeh, which were Jews who converted to Islam publicly, but secretly retained their Jewish beliefs. So Wikipedia basically says Ataturk was a crypto-Jew. I won't go deep in to Ataturk right now, but it is obvious he was from the same Jewish families, running the end of the Ottoman Empire and the transition into a new nation called Turkey. We have seen this dozens of times that empires come and go under different names, but with the **same** Jewish families running the show from behind the curtain. The red hat is associated with Jewish traditions and a marker to know who was running the show in the background. You would say that Ataturk's outlawing of the hat is a sign that he was cleaning stuff out, but that is the usual misdirection and make-appear. It is all part of the show to throw you off from knowing who really calls the shots.