

[Back to Home](#)

Nicolae Ceausescu faked his death. And the Romanian Revolution was staged.

Private opinion with conclusions derived from own research

First uploaded 22 of November 2023 - [last edited 22 of November 2023]



That is Nicolae Ceausescu. Commonly known as a Communist dictator in Romania during the so-called 'cold war', who was allegedly deposed, trialed, sentenced and shot dead by firing squad on the 25th of December 1989 during the so-called 'Romanian Revolution'. This article shows that Nicolae Ceausescu, who went through a fake public trial, faked his death. It also shows that the 'Romanian Revolution' was a complete controlled event which only served the interest of the people in power and was not a 'people's uprising'.

First we will look at who Nicolae Ceausescu really was and what happened in Romania during his reign, after which we will look in depth at the 'Romanian Revolution' to uncover what really happened in the year 1989 in Romania.

Nicolae Ceausescu

You can read the official story about Ceausescu on [wikipedia](#), but as usual it contains the usual half-truths and hogwash that you would expect from the mainstream historic narrative. I want to point out the red flags and incongruencies that are all over that wikipedia article and try to figure out what the truth really is. The Wikipedia article is pure propaganda and it is important to focus on the inconsistencies to unravel who Ceausescu really was.

The first red flag we can find in Ceausescu's youth, around the time that he was eleven years of age. We are told he came from a big family with many siblings, who were poor. The usual cover story. Ceausescu was said to have been born in Scornicesti, a small village in the south of Romania. At the age of eleven, Ceausescu decided to head for Bucharest to 'escape' his abusive father. Right. Because that is what eleven year old boys normally do.

In Bucharest, he stayed with his sister and found an apprenticeship at a shoemaker called Alexandru Sandulescu, who was involved in the then-illegal communist party. Of course, poor Ceausescu got involved with communism, got arrested and thrown in jail several times, rose through the communist ranks and eventually landed the job of supreme leader of the communist party. Wow, what a story! Problem is, it is fake. As usual with all these communist leaders. It is a front to cover the real story that you are not supposed to know.

The problem with this story is that no poor schmuck, who is not connected by blood to the higher ups, would ever be allowed to lead such an important project as the introduction of communism into a country. Communism never rose from the people, but has always been a [Jewish invention](#), which was **tightly** controlled by Jewish interests. Its main goal is very simple: To transform an agricultural society into a industrialized society. In plain English: to transform a peasant population into a more productive population which can be taxed more through a more efficient system of labor. This plan has its origins with the Jewish ultra-wealthy international banking families who **own** everything, including Romania. They are the real owners and as an owner you get to decide what you do with your property. Of course without the slaves ever figuring out what you are doing.

No communist leader in the history of the world wasn't a Jew, secret Jewish-royalty or had a direct Jewish handler. Ceausescu was the second and last communist leader in Romania and his reign was very long, about 24 years. In other words: he was carefully **selected**. Either he was Jewish or he was a rare puppet that was directly controlled by the Jews. There is no other way as it was highly important that the communist project in Romania had to succeed. It was part of the over-arching European plan of agrarian transformation to a new era of industrialization.

I do not buy the rags to riches story that you read in the mainstream about Ceausescu. That is because we have heard that story from many communist leaders and dictators and the patterns are too alike. Yes, there are records from his time in high school, but as you know those can be fabricated. People can be paid off to lie and act out a false story. People can be paid to play **an act**, even as **family members**. It is all very easy to do.

Ceausescu allegedly had a big family, but did he? Claims and statements on Wikipedia are not proof. Circumstantial evidence and patterns from similar careers of other communist dictators can be a better indicator. That is no difference with Ceausescu.

There is also no information on Ceausescu's mother, other than that her name was [Lixandra Militaru](#) and that she was poor, of course. That last name is a cover as it means 'military'. So we would never know her real name or more importantly, her real background. She was a descendant of an army officer (hence her last name) from Tudor Vladimirescu's army. No name is given for that officer.

[Tudor Vladimirescu](#) was a revolutionary hero who was the leader of the Wallachian uprising of 1821. We are told at Wikipedia that Tudor came from a poor background of peasants, of course.

It is always the same story with these people. They start as poor schmucks, but through wits and hard work, they rise to the highest ranks and change the turn of history. It is the usual cover story that we see with all these people. In real life, these backgrounds are made up and these people come from the Jewish families or they are connected and are selected for a specific job. They are selected for an inside job, which usually has the goal to transition something.

And here is the red flag: Vladimirescu at the age of twelve was taken into the care of the **boyar**, the highest in rank of the Feudal nobility of those times. As if that is how it really goes in real life. A peasant taken up as an apprentice with the highest royals. You have to be kidding me. It just does not work like that in real life. Imagine you would walk up to any royal palace in any country and request an audience with the king or queen and they would take you into their bosom and raise you as one of their own. You can see the ridiculousness of that proposition.

Tudor Vladimirescu was probably Jewish and his background scrubbed. He also enlisted in the Russian army, so it is obvious he was a spook too. Notably, he was from Gorj County, the same Gorj County from where Nicolae Ceausescu's father was from. Guess who was also from Gorj County? [Nicolae Militaru](#), a high ranking officer who graduated into the rank of **lieutenant-colonel** after he attended the Frunze Institute in Moscow, a major spook hangout. This same Militaru, who has the same last name as Ceausescu's mother, was allegedly planning coups against Ceausescu and because of that he was sidelined. What? Sidelined? Why not arrested, or hanged? Because these 'coups' were staged also.

It was this same Militaru who turned up again at the right time. Right after the conviction of Ceausescu in the 'revolution' of 1989, Militaru landed the post of Minister of Defense and was promoted to General. For what? I tell you for what: for being connected to the higher-ups. He got himself a new acting role and he accepted it. We have seen this pattern repeat thousands of times.

Militaru did not hold that job very long, because major spook and architect of the revolution, Victor Stanculesu landed that same job afterwards. All of this coincidence? I think not.

Anyway, back to young Nicolae Ceausescu who was flirting with communism. Unsurprisingly, Ceausescu got himself into trouble and into jail. And, lo and behold, in one of his jail-time periods, Ceausescu ended up in the same cell as Gheorghe Gheorghiu-Dej, the future first communist leader of Romania. What a coincidence right?


Gheorghiu-Dej liked Ceausescu so much that he made him his protégé. Ceausescu rose the ranks quite quickly and 'studied' at the Frunze Military Academy in Moscow in 1951 and 1952 for two months in each year. This academy was one of the top spook training institutions in communist Russia, so here you have already a big sign that Ceausescu was being trained and groomed for his future communist project in Romania.

The top spooks in any country in the world are Jewish, so being groomed in a top Jewish Spook hangout will guarantee a life of being 'handled' by Jewish interests. There is no way around that. If Ceausescu would have had a problem with that, he would never have become anybody important in Romania and certainly not the top spook of the country.

Whether or not Ceausescu was Jewish, he definitely had Jewish handlers and he was executing a well prepared script for the transformation of Romania. If his family and upbringing checks-out, the most probable answer is that he was an agent and puppet, trained and controlled by Jewish intelligence, both in the USSR and in Romania, with the goal of playing out his part as leader of communist Romania.

Before we move on, it is important to look at the first communist leader of Romania, Gheorghe Gheorghiu-Dej, who 'met' Ceausescu in jail.

Gheorghe Gheorghiu-Dej



This is Gheorghe Gheorghiu-Dej. As with most communist leaders, the description of his youth is also fudged. There is always some problem with the relationship with the parents or there is very little information about the parents or there is a story of orphanage or anything to direct your attention away from the parents. And that is for a good reason. They don't want you to know that he is from the powerful Jewish families.

All these communist leaders are always from a poor background. Don't fall for it, it is BS as usual. [Wikipedia](#) mentions that also Gheorghe Gheorghiu-Dej came from a poor background. What a surprise! There is nothing on his mother and he was adopted by his uncle at the age of two. Here they have used the adoption ploy. No reason is given, but as usual, this is a red flag. Anything to divert your attention away from his background.

There is no hard evidence to prove it, but my best guess is that he was from a Jewish family, highly connected and that his youth story is invented. The purpose of that is to **make it seem** that communism and the rise of it has its origin with a popular uprising. It is made to look like that to keep your eyes from the truth: that communism was a 100% Jewish invention, with a well-prepared agenda and a **well-prepared puppet** to usher that into any unsuspecting society. This is also the case here with Gheorghe Gheorghiu-Dej. One thing for sure, he's got a nose for these matters.

And imagine this: If communism is a Jewish product with a clear agenda, do you really think that it is left to chance for some poor bozo to come around and lead it? What if that person leads it into the ground? What if he changes his mind? What if he wants all that power for himself? That cannot happen, so all of this is well planned and scripted.

Just like Ceausescu, Gheorghe Gheorghiu-Dej, left school to start working at the age of 11. Just like Ceausescu, he joined communist groups and got arrested several times and also 'did jail time'. Whether or not he spent one minute in jail is not the most important issue. Just like with [Nelson Mandela](#) and various other communists 'who spent time in jail', it was good for his later image to render credibility that communism 'came from the people' and was a 'wish of the people' and that he 'suffered for his ideals'. Communism did not come from the people, so anything to do with a 'rise of communism', you have to translate that in your head as, 'Jewish handlers behind it all'. So **also** Gheorghe Gheorghiu-Dej had Jewish handlers behind him, whether or not he was Jewish.

For one of his arrests he was defended by a lawyer called Iosif Schraier, Jewish of course. Here you can see the men behind the curtain to reveal their faces once in a while. When things go sideways, they come out to rectify certain things, to keep the project on the rails.

1944 would become a red flag in Gheorghiu-Dej's story. Just days before the fall of the regime of that time, he escaped from prison in August of that year. How convenient no? He escaped just in time to prepare for the regime change that happened a short time after. When the Romanian king was deposed, Gheorghiu-Dej became the Secretary General of the communist party. How about that? Just out of jail and then landing the biggest public post. How did that happen? I will tell you. It was scripted. ALL of it. You don't think that things happen like that in the real world do you?

He did not have the total power though, because the Jewish Hannah Rabinsohn, who was backed by Moscow, had the de facto power in Romania. She was leading the 'Muscovite Faction' in Romania, until Gheorghe Gheorghiu-Dej 'ousted her' in 1952, a cool 8 years later. Nobody got ousted, but 1952 was the year the Jewish overlords were confident that Gheorghe Gheorghiu-Dej could lead the first phase of the communist transition of Romania from agricultural nation towards a regional industrial country.

Rabinsohn just completed her task and vanished behind the curtain in 1952. More on Hannah Rabinsohn below. At the same time, the Jewish handlers were also grooming young Nicolae Ceausescu for his magnum opus.

The prison stories of Gheorghe Gheorghiu-Dej and Nicolae Ceausescu are bullshit, as both of them were trained by the secret service and prepared to lead the country. If these two were such a great threat to Romania, they would have been hanged for treason, long before they could organize rallies or uprisings. They were carefully **selected** and **placed** exactly where they were needed. It is all theater for the history books of the gullible. The communist party during the kingdom years of Romania was **controlled opposition**. The stories of Gheorghe Gheorghiu-Dej and Nicolae Ceausescu are staged to give credence to the rise of communism by popular support.

On the 30th of December 1947, year one of the CIA, Gheorghe Gheorghiu-Dej forced king Michael to abdicate, making Gheorghe Gheorghiu-Dej the leader, just one step behind Rabinsohn. Of course, this is not admitted in the history books, but you can be sure that Gheorghe Gheorghiu-Dej had his Jewish handlers who gave him the script to follow. You can also know this by the fact of Gheorghe Gheorghiu-Dej's application of 'labor camps' to re-educate 'hostile elements'. Communism had to be forced on the people and no opposition was tolerated. You think Gheorghe Gheorghiu-Dej thought about all of this while in prison? Of course not. Anyway, you can read all about the camps on his wiki page as I am not going to repeat that here.

It was obvious that from that moment on, he was targeting the farmers and the old way of living and thinking, which had to make way for the industrialization of Romania. Transformation is the most important key element that communism fundamentally strives for. Communism is not a system that can hold a stable society, rather it is a method of **forced transition** under duress and social misery. Generations of people were mentally indoctrinated and changed by communism, towards the final moment, to fully embrace an industrialized society based on over-consumption, debts and tight control. All under the guise of 'freedom' and 'democracy'. It has been a blueprint for many agrarian nations of Europe and elsewhere.

As expected, Gheorghe Gheorghiu-Dej spearheaded the creation of heavy industry and focused on foreign trade with the Soviet Union and the West. It is obvious who he was serving and why Romania was being transformed. In any (communist) country, industry is the property of the Jewish international banking families and society is led by their agenda and influence. There is no doubt about that. The rest is history.

Jewish influence

One famous Jewish party member of the Romanian communist party was 'Ana Pauker', born [Hannah Rabinsohn](#) who was the party's unofficial leader right after the second world war and who played a very important role for the imposition of communism in Romania. I mentioned her above. Communism was introduced in Romania in 1947, which is year one of the CIA. Many big and planned changes happened in that year, orchestrating a wave of transformations across the world. Rabinsohn was also a major spook that kept relations with many European countries and was instrumental for the

spread of international Zionism and the expatriation of many Jews to Israel from Romania. She was 'ousted' from the Communist party, but the whole affair seems more theater than reality. They needed a scapegoat for public opinion and what better to use a Jewish scapegoat, pretending no Jews were allowed into power. It fitted with the Romanian general mindset about Jews at that time. The truth is that Jewish international banking families controlled both the Romanian Army and its Secret Service, the Securitate and it served them quite well to have the **perception** of a Jewish-free Romanian government. It was the best cover for them.

In the years that followed, Ceausescu was a mere puppet with a charismatic voice that could sell the Romanian transition into industrialization, which was completed in 1989 when Ceausescu faked his death and left the stage.

After the 'ousting' of Rabinsohn, the remaining people could pretend not to be Jewish, a perfect cover for the unsuspecting Romanian populace. It was seen as a 'cleansing' which would sit well with most Romanians, as they were not very fond of Jews in general. Little did they know that their country was still led by Jews and Jewish interests in secret.

Another notable and high-ranking Romanian politician and most obviously Jewish, was Ion Gheorghe Iosif [Maurer](#). The name Maurer is associated with German Jews. Romania has a heavy historic German influence through their past German kings and even now through German international banking and trading-companies owning most of Romania. As usual wikipedia doesn't say a word about the Jewish origins of Maurer, but it is quite obvious. There are many more, but I think you get the point.

It is clear that most of the high profile Jewish influence comes from Germany. The current head of State of Romania is also a German. So again, what appears to be different is just the same bird that rises from the ashes, with a different coat of feathers.

Collectivism and communism

As described in this [paper](#), communism is nothing more than an organized project by the Jewish ruling class to siphon off billions in value from the population into the hands of the controlling few. This was no different in Romania. Ceausescu personally oversaw the forced 'collectivization' of thousands of acres of private land from peasants, through the state program of 'expropriation' during the years 1949-1952. Basically, it was an obscene theft by the government - and to be more precise - an obscene theft by the people controlling the government, i.e. the international Jewish interests. According to Wikipedia 30,000 of the peasants ended up with prison sentences because they opposed this injustice. In a way the peasants were victims, but it is important to comprehend that through their ignorance of the system, the peasants consented to the theft.

The introduction of birth certificates and additional documentation had been completed decades earlier in Romania. Through the legal system of the country and through the ignorance of the peasants, thousands of them lost what was theirs by consenting to it. If they would have refused 'en masse' to adopt the legal system documentation system, this collectivization decades later, would not have happened.

The gypsies in turn, knew about this legal scam and many never signed up for it. Most of them never had birth certificates. The gypsies were often looked down upon in Romania and elsewhere, but in the end, they were the ones laughing at the stupidity of the populace to sign up for birth certificates and in turn lose their property through it. Please read my [book](#) on the legal system to comprehend better how people are deceived by consent.

Ceausescu also personally oversaw military suppressing action against uprising peasants and some of the them got killed in the process. You can see the ruthlessness in the communist system and the ambition of Ceausescu by carrying out the orders of his Jewish masters.

When Ceausescu became president, he became popular with the West and made it appear to be independent from the headquarters in Moscow. But that was just show. The truth of the matter is that above all, he pushed for a harsh industrialization, proving the conclusion of the [above article](#). He was transforming Romania from an agricultural country to an international industrialized nation, harshly reducing the peasant population from 78% to 48% in just a few decades. Free medical care, pensions and free education on all levels was just an effort of the state to get the peasant population up to speed to handle the industrial changes. The government didn't give a damn about the people themselves, but just to get them ready to operate in various industries that Ceausescu was developing. A smarter populace would mean that they could produce more and at a higher quality, which meant more money for the Jewish owners of all industries. A smarter populace does not mean an **intelligent** populace. They were trained to be able to do the work, but not to exercise much critical thinking, just like it is today.

Ceausescu also tried to boost the population with anti-abortion laws and promoting big families of 5+ children. It is obvious why he wanted this: more people, means more potential workers for the industry. One pesky effect of all this was child abandonment, which resulted in many orphanages. It is obvious why everything to do with 'labor' is so pivotal for communist regimes. All boundaries have to be removed to make it possible for the population to grow and thus increase the chance to produce more effective workers/slaves.

Like in most European countries, by 1989, the industrial conversion was completed and the old communism block had to go. Just like a phoenix, the old was scorched for the new to rise, but the bird remained the same. In other words, the Jewish international bankers, industrialists and land owners, finalized the transition from peasantry to industrialization. A new era of 'democracy' was needed for which both men and women would have to work, pay taxes, consume and believe all the brainwashing propaganda that came along with it. The old phoenix bird, which is represented as the international Jewish families, remained, but the political system transformed into 'democracy'.

Ceausescu was a relic of the past, so new leadership had to come in and usher a new era. All kinds of communist endings happened in 1989. Those in Russia, Poland, Hungary, East-Germany, Czechoslovakia, [Bulgaria](#) and Romania. Shortly after,

Yugoslavia, Albania and a few others followed suit. Do you really think that the uprisings and 'endings' of communism in Europe around the year 1989 was a coincidence?

All 'revolutions' played out with 'social unrest', 'protest' or 'uprising'. The truth is: they were all **controlled** and **planned**. There is **zero coincidence** in any of them, because it was all by design. The communist project had ended. New leadership and - most importantly - new PR was necessary to usher in the new era.

That new era was characterized by happier slaves. Why? Because happy slaves are **more productive** than unhappy slaves. Decades of communism had taught one thing: the communist system made people miserable and sad. They were not that great workers. They were very unhappy slaves. And they new they were slaves.

Fear, paranoia and low morale was at the center of the communist experiment. So to lift morale and to get them producing, the **perception** of freedom (hence the democratic wave all over Europe) had to be stimulated. Communism and Democracy is all the same, with the exception that Democracy gives a **false sense** of freedom. Like this, the population has better and more efficient slaves for the system.

In a communist system everybody knows they are trapped and have very little freedom. The 90's and beyond were characterized by increasing worker productivity, giving the populace more rights and more privileges. But all that had a downside: higher prices, higher taxes, financial crises, lots of social change instigated by the intelligence agencies and the attack on the family unit. This meant one thing: both parents had to go to work and children were more vulnerable to outside influence. Separation, polarity, fear and confusion were amped up significantly to increase the appetite for consumption and nihilism which the new 'democratic system' was promoting step by step.

The main target in this new era is the **mind** of each individual. It is brainwashing in a much more refined way, also with the endless possibilities of sophisticated technology and the internet. Once you get in the mind of the people, you can manipulate their beliefs and thus their behavior. In other words: the molding of highly productive, super-consuming, low-protesting slaves. This is the wet dream of every individual or group who seek power and control over others. This is in a nutshell how the new era after communism played out in Europe and elsewhere.

Ceausescu's reign, especially after 1971, was characterized by aggressive industrialization, rampant propaganda, a tightly controlled population which feared the 'Securitate' secret service of Romania and the ever present idolizing of Ceausescu on every street corner. In a nutshell, its was a psychological hell where people tried to survive life instead of living it.

One remarkable event that is worth mentioning, is the 1978 defection to America of the Securitate Senior member, and two-star general, Ion Mihai Pacepa. I already ousted defection stories to be fake in my paper on [Yuri Bezmenov](#). Defection stories are designed to give the defector all **credibility** to what they claim, because their stance is 'with us, against our enemy'. But it is obvious that any defector is **still** a mole and works **both sides** of the dialectic. Most defectors are the most talented men/women to manage

a complex project internationally, which serves the highest agendas. Often even without people lower in the hierarchy of the intelligence community ever knowing about it.

The Pacepa defection was more theater and gave Ceausescu the reason he needed to overhaul the entire Secret Service of Romania. You guessed it: it became more strict, more aggressive and more bold in all its projects against the Romanian people. The living hell became even hotter.

But it also served more goals. Pacepa was another actor that had to play the 'defection' script to make his story believable. While in the United States, he wrote several books of which 'Red Horizons: Chronicles of a Communist Spy Chief' was his most famous one.

When reading this book, you can already sense Pacepa is just a controlled opposition agent who is playing both sides. He is blatantly lying in his book and I would guess that most of the stories are fake. He not once mentions the true power behind Ceausescu, the Jewish industrial families who run the show from behind the curtains.

Instead, Pacepa gaslights us with stories in which he portrays Ceausescu and his wife to be unapologetic anti-Semites, bashing Jews wherever they could. That is nice acting, both from Pacepa, and if true, from Ceausescu and his wife. In truth, Ceausescu always had Jewish handlers and he was playing a role, the role of his life.

I spoke to people who are from Romania who lived during those times and who had high positions in society. They told me Jews were placed at the highest positions in Romania. They owned the factories, various industries and the TV and newspaper propaganda channels. They also owned the government indirectly and the most important branch, the Securitate, the secret services of communist Romania. It was then as it is today. Nothing changed, the phoenix bird is the same bird, only the colors are different.

The other red flag about Pacepa can be found in his other book: 'Programmed to kill : Lee Harvey Oswald, the Soviet KGB, and the Kennedy assassination'. In that book he goes on a rant about how Lee Harvey Oswald was a highly trained agent by the KGB and that comrade and Soviet president Nikita Khrushchev, ordered the Kennedy assassination. Khrushchev later called the assassination off, but could not control Oswald anymore, so Oswald then murdered Kennedy. I think you are on the floor rolling of laughing by now. This is the most ridiculous theory about the Kennedy 'assassination' I have ever heard. Khrushchev lost control over Oswald? Please! They really think people are that dumb to believe such crap. The Kennedy 'assassination' **was faked**. Pacepa thus sells you the Kennedy assassination as real, while gaslighting you about Oswald and the rest of the BS narratives surrounding Kennedy.

It is obvious Pacepa is blatantly lying, as he has been trained to do. He was so good at it that he got this new 'defector' job. Which he played to a T. For that reason alone, you would know he is still working for his old comrades. Just like Bezmenov, he got promoted for his acting skills and tenacity. For me, this is the biggest exposure of Pacepa to be a fake, controlled opposition actor, who obviously worked simultaneously for three secret services, the Romanian Securitate, the Russian KGB and the American CIA. In Romania he gave Ceausescu all the reasons to unleash more doom and in

America he was peddling the fake Kennedy assassination and other lies. The same patterns involving Bezmenov, we also see with Pacea.

Pacea's third book was called 'Disinformation', a perfect name for Pacea himself and for a book full of hogwash, lies and disinformation. A perfect title to sum up Pacea in just one word, the magnum opus of his life, to be a lying, deceiving bozo, confusing the masses with hogwash and BS. Pacea allegedly died of covid in 2021, but I take this with a grain of salt as these people are masters of faking their deaths when an intel project comes to a close.

The Romanian Revolution of 1989

There are many anomalies surrounding Ceausescu's death and I will list them here. His alleged death is very closely connected with the Romanian Revolution of 1989. The highest probability when looking at the bigger picture is that Ceausescu faked his death.

The official story is obvious hogwash. The '[revolution](#)' allegedly started when the Romanian government wanted to evict an ethnic Hungarian 'pastor' Laszlo Tokes for inciting 'ethnic hatred'. Tokes is in fact a politician, an obvious insider, who later on, became a member of the European Parliament for 12 years and also served as Vice-President of the European Parliament for two years. Hello! Anybody awake? The term 'ethnic hatred' is so vague, that it cannot be taken seriously. Hatred how? Which ethnic group? The Romanian communist government of Ceausescu would not be interested in this bozo, because the government had a reputation to not care too much about minorities and 'ethnic groups'. Hungarians in Romania are a minority and were not a concern for Ceausescu at all. As if the Romanian government gave a damn about this loudmouth. This little paragraph is already enough to know you are being lied to, but let's dig in as it will get much juicier.

The architects of the 'Romanian Revolution of 1989' needed a false trigger, something to start off this fake hoax and they used Tokes for this. Another absurd detail about this false trigger is that members of Tokes' congregation surrounded his house to support him and then - out of nowhere - a bunch of students 'spontaneously' joined in. This was on December the 16th, 1989, the starting day of this hoax. The 'revolution' culminated on the 25th of December, 1989. So the revolution took a whopping ten days to be finalized. Right. Whenever you hear this 'spontaneous' propaganda you know you are being fleeced.

Soon enough though, everybody 'forgot' about the main cause of Tokes and the mob turned into an anti-government protest. Another sign that it was all planned. The protests flared up primarily in Timisoara in the beginning, a city far away from Bucharest in the far west of Romania. The students and congregants 'forgot' their main cause and turned to a new cause. Not believable at all. It does not work like that in the real world. People go protest for something, but don't change their banners half-way. They just play with you and think you are too stupid to see through this BS.

The leaders of those students were probably agents who stirred things up and turned the narrative against the government. What about Tokes? Well, nothing, because he was just used as a trigger, but it turned sideways quickly to **make it appear** to be

spontaneous. It wasn't. Tokes was giving critical interviews against the government months earlier, so it was already in the works, going into December. If this would have been real, Tokes would never even get the chance to give 'critical interviews'. He would have been in a dungeon somewhere enjoying the wrath of the Securitate. It was all carefully planned from the git-go. Rumors also went around that the secret service was involved and was seen stirring up the mob.

Well, the mob got bigger and rowdier. The main catalyst was the alleged shootings of the government on demonstrators on December 17, 1989. Here comes the second big red flag of the revolution. On this day of December 17, the army allegedly '**failed** to establish order' and chaos ensued again. Yeah, and elephants can fly. No, the correct way to read that is: the army **allowed** chaos to ensue again. You have to be kidding me to believe that the army was unable to use their leverage of force to subdue a crowd which had no weapons. The only time people without guns win from people with guns, is when the people with guns, **let that happen on purpose.** Just study the [Hungarian Revolution](#) of 1956 and learn how a government crushes an uprising within days with the huge leverage of force. That one was not staged and needed to be controlled as the communist project in Hungary was just getting steam. It was not allowed to be toppled. This failure of the Romanian Army to crush the revolt is another big indication that it was staged.

On December the 18th, while parts of the country were in disorder, Ceausescu decided to head to Iran for a state visit. Apparently Ceausescu did not think much of this uprising or he already knew the script. He squeezed in a short state visit to Iran before returning home. It must be the dumbest thing ever if you believe the mainstream narrative. Either way you look at this, it is bizarre to say the least. Every leader knows that time of chaos is the time when power can be lost and transferred, so why leave the country? What did he know? Did he already know that the end of his act was near and that he had to play along with the transfer of power? Any leader who clings to power will never leave his country in time of chaos. On the contrary, any leader will increase his/her grip and will do everything to maintain that power. This move of Ceausescu is illogical and plain stupid. So there was more going on, than we are told.

Note that his return from Iran on the evening of December 20th was planned and **not** cut short. Ceausescu returned as planned, so the revolution was patiently waiting until he got back. How nice of the revolutionaries to wait. What did he do in Iran? Was it really him who returned? Ceausescu was known to have many doppelgangers, who are people that looked very much like him who Ceausescu used in public.

In the evening of the 20th of December, he gave a speech on television that the uprising was 'staged from outside of Romania'. Perhaps partly true and something he would repeat at his trial that it was a 'Soviet plot'. Not a peep about the Jews, just good ol' Soviet bashing. This same 'Soviet plot' narrative would come up again later on, to explain the many anomalies of the revolution, because most people knew the uprising was staged. It is funny that they used Ceausescu's words, as if both Ceausescu and later politicians read from the **same script.**

The truth is that it was neither a Soviet plot, nor a people's uprising, **nor** a military coup spearheaded by the Secret Service Securitate. It was planned by the architects of communism and the real owners of Romania: the international Jewish banking families who controlled both the military and the Securitate. And these same families still control both institutions to this very day. It is just that one camp blames the Russians (yawn), and the other camp blames the Securitate and its leadership. Both are mere tools for these Jewish families to get to their goals.

The Romanian people heard from the uprisings in Timisoara from radio stations like Radio Free Europe and Voice of America, both fronts for the CIA. This is the biggest indicator that the CIA and the Securitate were working on this 'revolution' **together**. My suspicion is that the CIA and the Securitate have been working together since the inception of the CIA in 1947.

This means that the theories that only the Securitate committed a coup, killed Ceausescu and installed their own people in power is hogwash. Since the CIA was involved through many proxies in Hungary, Bulgaria, Ukraine and even Russia, they were coordinating with the Securitate from outside.

Remember, the Industrialization of Romania was complete, the communist project was not necessary anymore and a road to 'Democracy' was needed to increase the productivity of the slave population. They needed a new **perception of freedom** in the minds of the slaves to boost productivity in the labor force and communism could just not provide that. It was maxed out. The cow was skin and bones only. They needed that cow to fatten up, before they could milk it again. Democracy was the answer to fatten her right up.

The next day on December 21st, 1989, Ceausescu gave a speech on the balcony of the building of the Central Committee of the Romanian Communist Party, in front of thousands of rallied people. It was supposed to be a normal propaganda speech, but the crowd turned against Ceausescu. Most likely stimulated by secret agents in the crowd. After a few minutes into his speech and failing to control the crowd, Ceausescu went inside.

After that, clashes erupted between the people, military and police agents. The insurgence was put down and many were arrested. This is another red flag. If the government saw that the police and military could put down the mob with ease, there was no reason for the government to fear the people. The peculiar thing was that - as a matter of fact - the next day, the rebellion **spread across the entire country**.

Well that is odd right? How did that happen? Nobody in Romania were informed by TV or radio about what was happening in Timisoara and the Securitate had full control over national telephone services. Why not cut off all telephone services and send in the army to block all roads in and out of Timisoara? It would be easy to put out the fire before it would get bigger. People in the rest of the country would have no idea of what was happening. But no, it was allowed to spread like wildfire for at least four days until it finally reached Bucharest on December 21st, 1989. All kinds of labor groups were quickly organized to go to the capital and join the protesters. You can't rally so many

people in such a short period of time. It can if preparations had already been made **beforehand**. Again, this is a huge red flag that it was all staged.

On December 22, 1989, the government announced that the minister of Defense, Vasile [Milea](#), had died and later confirmed it was a suicide. Obviously he died under suspicious circumstances. But did he die? Wasn't his death faked as well? Who would know? One thing is for sure: it massively fueled the uprising in the **favor** of the people.

Now why would a government do this? Why announce it, while the situation is not under control? It is **pure self-sabotage**. You know this would start all kinds of conspiracies and throw more gasoline on the fire. They announced it, because that was the **plan**. The people behind the government who were scripting the event of change, **wanted** chaos, to make it **look** as the **people's uprising** instead of a planned event. Milea was allegedly sacked for treason and people started to believe that he was murdered. But what if his death was staged? It sure helped the transition move along quite rapidly.

When Ceausescu learned of Milea's death, he allegedly appointed Victor Stănculescu as Minister of Defense. Remember this name as this guy became the link in everything that would unravel next. His [wikipedia page](#) is very meager and that is on purpose. He was the head of the Securitate who made one step to the foreground. For sure he was one of the main architects of this elaborate hoax that would become the 'Romanian Revolution'.

It was **no mistake** that Vasile Milea left the stage and that this guy was pushed to the foreground. He **was** the **director** of the 'revolution'. Pushing Milea out was **planned**, Ceausescu naming Stănculescu Minister of Defense was **planned** and Stănculescu's later refusal to allegedly obey orders from Ceausescu was also **planned**. Stănculescu always operated from behind the curtains, but at this crucial stage of the Romanian transition, he had to come in front of the curtain. He immediately ordered the soldiers to stand down, basically letting the population win and raid government buildings. You can see, Stănculescu **wanted** the people to win. It was obvious that - in reality - Stănculescu was the one in control and sabotaging the return to order. He allegedly persuaded Ceausescu to flee by helicopter, but as he controlled the entire Military, it was a walk in the park to 'catch' Ceausescu later on. Making Ceausescu a fugitive reveals motive and wrongdoing by Ceausescu, in the eyes of the population. A very clever move.

The next red flag is this: Since many people believed the Defense minister was murdered, a large part of the soldiers in the military, **switched sides** to the protesters. Yeah right. That doesn't happen like that. Especially not over such a short period of time. What do soldiers do? That's right, they **follow orders**. Period. They followed Stănculescu's orders. They were not trained to think, they were trained to obey, no matter what. They were **told** to switch sides and they **obeyed**.

This was the nail in the coffin for communism in Romania. After this happened it was the end of an era. On December 22, 1989, Ceausescu, his wife and some others fled the communist building by helicopter.

Later, Stănculescu said that, as the most powerful man in Romania at that moment, he gave that power away immediately, because he 'chose' the group of Ion Iliescu, the man who would become the post-revolution President. Yeah, right. You have to be asleep to

believe this nonsense. Stănculescu said he could choose between various factions that wanted the power, so he chose Iliescu's group. Just because. You have to laugh. Of course this whole story is a lie. He **knew** Iliescu was to become the transition president and he had to make sure that that happened. It was **planned** and **scripted**. They were **all** in on it.

Stănculescu was also the architect of the kangaroo court that got Ceausescu convicted. Stănculescu also coordinated the start of the new era in Romania from where he was most effective: as the - de facto - highest in command of the Intelligence Agency, the Securitate. Of course they re-named and re-organized that institution, but its modus operandi never changed, to this day.

Stănculescu also got his orders, not from that bozo Ceausescu, but from the **owners** of Romania, the International Jewish banking families who were his superiors. And he obeyed. The rest is history.

So Ceausescu and his wife fled first to their residence in Snagov and then fled again to their residence in Targoviste. Why fly two times? The range of Ceausescu's Presidential helicopter, a Dauphin AS365 is about 827 kilometers, so Ceausescu could have just escaped Romania easily and fly to Bulgaria or Turkey, but he choose to fly around Romania from one house to another? Yeah, makes sense. Any fleeing dictator would do that right? Just to cruise around and burn fuel?

You would say that the army would shoot the helicopter out of the sky. But would they? Think about it. You have a helicopter with a former president in it, and if you shoot it out of the sky, what is left of a chance of a trial? Are you going to shoot that out of the sky with the whole world watching? You think there is a sane army official who would give such an order?

If Ceausescu's escape was real, then he would have left Romania, never to return back. The pilot would just fly on and ignore threats from the army, which is the best plan. But no, they flew around like a bunch of idiots, to then be apprehended by the army. The whole escape story on [Wikipedia](#) reads like a cheap novel that is not credible at all.

Ceausescu was probably swapped in the process, just like they did with [JFK](#). He was probably taken to a secret location where he could play out and finish his magnum opus. He was ready to play out his last chapter, the faking of his death.

One last word about Stănculescu. In 2008, years after the 'Revolution', he was convicted by the Supreme Court of Romania for 'aggravated manslaughter' and sentenced to 15 years in prison. I can tell you that he has not been in prison for one second and that that conviction is just theater. Just another story to add to the plethora of faked stories to make the revolution appear to have been caused by popular uprising, rather than a planned event with a known script and agenda. Stănculescu could have exposed this whole bamboozlement, but chose to play the theater in court and get convicted to rot 15 years in prison. Yeah right. And Santa Claus is real too. Remember that Stănculescu's job was to manage the perception of the people. This was another project that he executed to perfection. And he never saw the inside of a jail in his life. At age 88 he died and his body was cremated. How convenient.

The day Ceausescu faked his death.

Ceausescu and his wife were allegedly taken into custody by the military and were given a trial on December 25th. It is very important to note that the Ceausescus fled on December 22. So that is three days between their flight and their trial. Do you see any problems here? We have many red flags pointing into the direction of a staged and scripted event which seems to have been rushed though very quickly. Here are some of the problems with the story:

- Between Ceausescu's speech of December 22 and the death sentence of him on December 25, there were **three days** for a new government to be formed with leadership that could make decisions and enough time to prepare a trial. Do you see the problem here? There is not enough time. It is obvious that this was planned all along as three days is just a very short time-span to re-organize a new power structure. The new government was the so called 'National Salvation Front', (NSF) consisting of military high ups, Securitate people and other powerful and connected people. It was lead by none other than Ion Iliescu, the guy who was chosen by Stănculescu and who would become the post-revolution president. Stănculescu landed the job of National Defense Minister for a short time. I can assure you that no students or pastors were part of that new 'front'. The NSF leadership consisted of only former Romanian communist party members and that is another clue to know that the Revolution was staged.

Without being elected or anything, Iliescu formed the exceptional tribunal that would convict Ceausescu. All the people of the NSF were **insiders**. A later video [revealed](#) that the military tribunal contained some of the most prominent people of this National Salvation Front who were high ranking officials from Ceausescu's cabinet and closest officials. For example, the tribunal had the country's deputy Prime Minister of the time, Gelu Voican, and of course the grand architect of it all, Victor Stănculescu, former general of the Securitate and the defense minister. These were all people who had been high ranking communists during the Ceausescu years and who were very close to Ceausescu. They didn't just disappear, they just assumed a different **role**. They re-grouped and changed their marketing material overnight.

The major problem is that the criminal tribunal had no legal basis, not by law, not by representation and not by decency. It was a mere facade to get rid of Ceausescu as quick and as efficient as possible. They knew that they had to make it believe that Ceausescu would be dead after that trial. This is also a reason that points into the direction of a faked death. They didn't really care how the amateurish **tribunal** and **trial** against Ceausescu looked. They only cared for the people to **believe** that Ceausescu was **dead**. The rest didn't matter that much.

- Another problem with the whole trial is the blatant hypocrisy of the panel of judges and high ranking people. You can read the transcript of the trial [here](#). The panel of judges accused Ceausescu of things that the judges were involved with as well. That is how a control system works. It is a pyramid in which almost all of them follow orders. They were all involved in causing the misery of Romanian life.

It wasn't only Ceausescu and his wife. It was ALL of them. When you read the script, you get a nauseating feeling of embarrassing hypocrisy that stench through the computer screen. One thing - from a legal perspective - is very interesting and Ceausescu seemed to understand this: He refused to recognize the court, which means no contract can be made between the court/new government and Ceausescu. If there is no contract between the parties, any actions that are not agreed upon, are a pure violation of somebody's free will. So killing Ceausescu is an act of violation of free will and that is not possible in the legal system: there **has** to be **consent**. That is how all persecution works. That is how the legal system works. Please see my other legal papers. All convicts will eventually sign an agreement. And that is the second interesting thing: Ceausescu said he would **refuse** to sign anything. With these two aspects it is clear the court was **fake** and that **Ceausescu faked his death**.

If somebody does not recognize a court and refuses to sign anything - provided they did not identify with their persona - they cannot be touched. Unless the violator wants to overstep the free will of the accused.

Elena had the same stance, she would not answer any questions. Further on, you can see the whole trial was a charade as the prosecutors went on to discuss Academic degrees of Elena and the legitimacy of it. What? What has that to do with anything? Of course, it's part of the show. It all bullshit and staged. You think people will talk about academic degrees when they are facing a death penalty? You have to be sleeping to believe any of this shite.

Also the fact that the representatives of the court had to state multiple times that 'this military court is absolutely legal' proves the fact that it wasn't. It wasn't real, it wasn't legal, it was just theater.

- The court also failed to provide any proof, but just claimed 'we have data of the past 25 years'. Well, show that if you have it. But of course, if you would show that, all the people in court who were against the Ceausescus would be exposed as well. ALL of them would be exposed and all of them would have been involved.

One of the other absurd allegations was to accuse the Ceausescus of the 'genocide' that happened during the 'Romanian revolution' in Timisoara and Bucharest. Did they pull the trigger? No. The order followers did. Free will remember? The soldiers were ordered and they **consented** to kill people. You have to comprehend direct culpability in these matters. If somebody whispers in your ear to do something and you do it, who did that action? Not the whisperer but the one who commits that action. Think about it. You **CANNOT** convict somebody for the actions of another man or woman. It just cannot be done. So this whole accusation was theater again. It would not hold up in any real court.

- According to Dorin-Marian Cirlan, who was one of the three shooters who 'killed Ceausescu', Ceausescu was **panicking**, but if you watch the real **footage** of the trial, nothing of that sort is visible. Ceausescu looks quite calm and combative. You can even see that after the death sentence was spoken, the Ceausescus remained quite calm and very dismissive of the whole thing. They reacted as if

imminent death was not a big issue for them. Was that part of the theater? Cirlan claimed also that the Ceausescus were crying, but there is no indication of that in the entire video. If that was the case, wouldn't they have loved to tape that and show it to the world? It just proves Cirlan is yet another liar and dis-informer who is trying to mess with us. Another fact that proves Cirlan to be a liar is that he claims to be 'cut off from society' but still can work as a lawyer. Besides that, he also seems to be able to do interviews with CIA-front publications like the Guardian.

- The trial was a complete joke and it looks like it was performed in some sort of school room. Despite the fact that it had no legal foundation, it was based on nothing. Ceausescu was accused of [genocide](#). There was no legal base for genocide as that has to be proven through eye witnesses and legal procedure. So it was based on nothing. Ceausescu rightfully claimed to still be the legal president of Romania and as such, did not recognize the court. Also the judges looked like actors and the trial had no formal or legal proceedings. It is the same fake courts we have seen with [O.J. Simpson](#), [Donald Trump](#), [Alex Jones](#), [Ghislane Maxwell](#), [Derek Chauvin](#), and with the [Boston Marathon Bombing](#), [Sandy Hook](#), [January 6th](#), [Salem Witch](#) and [WWII Nuremberg](#) trials. ALL of them **FAKE**. And all of them connected to **faked** events. But because people get so emotional from the lies, they stop listening and cry foul. Rationale and common sense go out the window and lots of these fake trials are still believed to be real by millions of people.

For Ceausescu's trial, there were no witnesses and there was no time for Ceausescu to defend his case. Ceausescu technically had a [right to appeal](#), but of course they could not let that happen, so it was a certainty: Ceausescu had to 'die'.

This also shows clearly in the [video](#). It starts with showing Ceausescu getting a medical exam. For what? Why would he need to be physically examined if they already knew he was going to die? What use has a medical exam? It just doesn't make any sense or it is just for show.

Stănculescu made sure that the Ceausescus would appear to die. He also allegedly had 'picked the spot' where these two would be executed. The other [four charges](#) against Ceausescu, like for example 'undermining the national economy' and 'offense of destruction of public property' are also laughable, because they are so broad that they would need years of battles in court to prove any of that. It was all bullshit and theater and the people just bought it like hot cakes. Ceausescu allegedly also got an attorney for his defense, but this attorney later switched to the prosecutor and accused them of capital crimes. Is this a farce or what? It is worse than hollywood. Other irregularities you can read yourself [here](#).

- There is also a huge problem with the continuity of character. In other words, in the images of the [video](#), the character shown lying 'dead' in the coffin is not the same man as being on trial. There are a few anomalies:

1. The guy in the following pictures is not Ceausescu: The first picture is taken from the video after he was 'shot'. The second picture is from this [article](#). And the third and fourth picture is from the video as well. Do you see the problems here? In the first picture the guy looks much younger than the Ceausescu from the trial. Also, the hair is much grayer and does not match the Ceausescu from the trial. It also does not match the other pictures. So guy 1 is not Ceausescu.
2. In picture 2 until 4 (3&4 on next page), the guy has a wart or blood crust on the middle of his forehead. Ceausescu does not have that in the trial. Also, guy number 1 does not have that. The hair of guy number 2 (of picture 2-4) does not have matching hair with the Ceausescu of the trial. Guy number 2 also looks younger than Ceausescu from the trial. So guy 2 is not Ceausescu.
3. They tried their best with the eye-brows, but they do not match either. The guy from picture 2-4 has his eyebrows extending to the outside of the face. The guy in picture 1 has eyebrows that extend to the inside of the face. Also the eyebrows of guy 2, have a sharp 45 degree angle even in rest. If you study the mimicry of Ceausescu during the trial you will notice that the eyebrows are more horizontal in relaxed state. With guy #2 they look artificial pasted on. See picture 3 and 4.





- Look at the pictures below. The one on the left is Ceausescu from the trial with a shot from the side. Study the hairline extending from the ear towards the eye. In the second picture that piece of hair from the ear towards the eye is missing. Look closely at the ear in the second picture and follow a line from the top of the ear towards the right eye. The hair is missing. The guy is not the Ceausescu from the trial. The mouth also does not match as the 'dead' Ceausescu seems to have thick swollen lips. It is clear that **between** the trial and the staged 'execution', they **swapped** different Ceausescus and that the real Ceausescu **faked his death**.



- It was the most embarrassing and staged kangaroo trial I have ever seen. People all over Europe were so emotional, because so much change was happening, that they just ignored their rationale and believed this staged event. On March 1, 1990 the president of the trial against the Ceausescus, Colonel Gica Popa was allegedly **found dead** in his office. Official reports claimed it was a suicide. More faked deaths and chaos to point the fingers at the Securitate who would be behind all of this. Of course the Securitate was instrumental, but you have to look at the **owners** of the Securitate to know who was behind this complete shitshow.

- The Ceausescus were found guilty based on hearsay and based on short speeches. No evidence or formal procedure. Genocide is a serious allegation. In a normal court process the accusers have to provide evidence and witnesses. The evidence has to connect directly to Ceausescu himself. There are plenty of order followers who pull the trigger, but you have to prove exactly what Ceausescu did in a chain of events. You can't prove anything in just two hours with no witnesses and then just send a televised version of a kangaroo trial into the world. This does not make any sense and it does not happen like this in real time. Even the worst murderers still get a trial and have minimum rights. The trial and 'execution' of the Ceausescus have fake, fake, fake written all over it.
- Another joke is that a soldier was ordered to take the Ceausescus outside and shoot them one after the other, but the Ceausescus demanded to die together. Their demands were honored. What convict can make demands? A fake one! What does it matter how they die? It matters for the cameras. The '**executing**' was videotaped and later shown to the Romanian population. They had a real version in **1989** and they had another version in **1991**. For the 1991 footage, they have mixed in footage from the trial of 1989 with actors from 1991. You can see from exactly the **2min14sec timestamp** that the couple are **not** the same. 'Elena' is somebody else. She doesn't even look like Elena and looks much younger. 'Ceausescu' is a complete different guy. Look closely they are not the same. They are actors, but that is logical as it comes from 1991. The giveaway is at the end of the video, when both 'Ceausescu' and his 'wife' stand up and walk away.

But of course, what this does in confuse people. It is also a clever way to tell you the truth: that Ceausescu faked his death and that it was all staged. The truth in the open. But people watching this would say: No it is not real, because these are actors, so you will believe the mainstream narrative or a variant of that. The biggest assumption that people then will make is: **He is dead**. No, the truth is, he faked his death. And they are telling you with these videos.

- Another big red flag is that between the Ceausescus walking outside and them lying dead on the floor, there is no video evidence. The **actual** execution was **never shown**. You would say that the actual real **footage** shows people **firing**, but take a good look at it. The camera moves away, there is lots of smoke, but you do **not** actually see them **go down**. It seems they are firing at corpses or mannequins already lying on the floor. Check around the 1hour12min mark. It is edited and scripted. The head scarf over Elena's face looks placed there. There is no chance that if a body falls, that her head scarf lands so perfectly on her face. Again, this points towards a **faked death**.
- Days before Ceausescus 'death' on the 25th he was stripped of two honors. His knighthood of the Danish Order of the Elephant was revoked on December **23rd** 1989 by the Danish Queen and the honorary Knight Grand Cross of the Most Honorable Order of the Bath was taken away on the **24th** of December by Queen Elizabeth II of the United Kingdom. What a foresight hey? So there was a protest on the 22nd of December and the Queen of Denmark immediately revokes his honors? Queen Elizabeth was a bit slower, but right on time before his death. It all

reeks like a careful planned take-down and spectacle to abruptly end communism and move the agenda on.

- To just take the whole world for a fool, the fall of Ceausescu was **announced** on television by Romania's leading **actor**, Ion Caramitru. They are telling you: It was all staged and we are using this bozo actor to rub it in your face, you schmucks!
- The death penalty in Romania was abolished just 13 days after the execution of the Ceausescus. Convenient no? And quite symbolic. Just get rid of them and then just abolish it, **13** days after. Just like the movie about JFK, with the same name: 13 days. They love their symbology.

After 13 days everything is new, except for the bird. The phoenix bird rising yet again with a pack of new feathers.

Why not give the Ceausescu a proper trial? Because they wanted to be done with this quickly. **Because they were faking it.** That's why.

There is also a huge red flag involving their graves. They were allegedly buried in Ghencea cemetery, but Ceausescu's son Valentin lost an appeal in court to verify the graves, because he suspected that they were not real. He was right to question the narrative, but the lie had to be protected, so he lost. As expected. In 2010 the graves were finally **opened** to 'conclusively prove' that the bodies were genuine. The fact that this **happened** proves that the hunch of many people was right. After years of battling this ongoing question in the courts, the government finally was forced to open the graves and gives us some evidence. Of course it was all controlled and we got more lies and nothing 'conclusive'.

The body of Elena Ceausescu was 'so heavily decayed' that she couldn't be identified. You have to laugh. Who believes this crap? But Ceausescu was identified by DNA testing. Wow, how did they get the president's DNA when he was alive? With **what** did they **match** the DNA? They said they took some DNA from Ceausescus brother and son and from that determined that it was **indeed** Nicolae Ceausescu. But they can fake all of that too. They just claim that it is him. You need a previous existing sample to 100% identify somebody. And it needs to be done by an independent third party. Preferably from abroad. Most preferably with stored DNA of the subject. That is also why the government wants to put your DNA in a database. To perform 100% matches. A lot of tempering can be done with familial DNA to 'prove' something. Plus this would not be the first time the government would lie about something they try to cover up.

I know that storing the president's DNA could be done, but it is highly unlikely because there was no reason for the highest in command in Romania to **store** his DNA somewhere. But they recognized his black coat he was wearing during the trial and during his execution. As if that is any proof at all. It's all bullshit and more make belief.

Nobody asked who the people were who did the DNA testing and nobody required an independent team from abroad to verify the test results. If they did, they would have been caught with lying about the DNA testing.

Oddly enough Valentin Ceausescu, the eldest son of the Ceausescus, refused to participate in the exhumation. He was one of the first ones to call for an investigation, but when he got his chance, he backed out. He never visited those graves, but he wanted to know the 'truth'. Nice way to investigate the truth.

Did he know something we don't and that's why he behaved so strangely? Wouldn't you want to be involved if you were in his shoes? To get to the bottom of it? To know who was doing the DNA testing? How they did it? Wouldn't you want to make sure nobody would get cute and muddle the investigation? Of course you would, unless you knew the truth already. This behavior of Valentin Ceausescu is another red flag in this whole story.

The Ceausescus were allegedly re-buried, this time together and the case was closed. But there are more problems with the 'execution', which reveals the chance of faked deaths to be near 100%.

After the so called executions of the Ceausescus, all kinds of contradictory reports came out of what happened on that day on December 25th 1989. Contradictions is a prime indicator of lies, deceit, fakery and more clues.

- Former Warrant Officer Dorin Carlan has claimed that the pictures that were released of the dead bodies of the Ceausescus were **faked**. Well, we saw that previously didn't we? He claimed that it did not happen how the media has presented it. Which we know, so this is the part where he tells the truth. He does not say their deaths were faked: He tells us something interesting in an indirect way: He claimed that he saw an 'older couple' come out of the barracks from where the 'trial' had taken place. He claims that the firing squad started to shoot at the elderly couple **before an order was given**. What is this guy smoking? Do you really think that any soldier starts shooting before any order? This is 100% gaslighting here, just to mess with your head. It makes no sense at all and this is not how it works in the real world.

Carlan went on to say that he **could not tell** if the elderly couple were Nicolae and Elena Ceausescu. What? Are you serious? The most adorned and showed couple in Romania, with propaganda pictures everywhere and on TV and you cannot identify the most famous couple in Romania? Right.

He claimed he didn't know if it was them, because **he couldn't see** their faces. He really must think that whoever believes this, must be a complete idiot.

You see what he is doing? He is telling you the **truth**. It was **not** them. It wasn't the Ceausescus, but probably some actors. But then he is gaslighting you: He **implies** he and his buddies **executed** an elderly couple. Most people will then think, oh they screwed a bit with the evidence but **surely** it was the Ceausescus! You will think: the Ceausescus are **dead**. And that is the goal of revealing some of the truth and gaslighting you directly after that. It is a known and often used psychological manipulation tactic.

Dorin Carlan is selling you the deaths of that couple as **real** (which they were not), but confuses you that the pictures have been faked. And also he is confusing the masses with a claim that he is **not sure** if those were the Ceausescus. It's

classic misdirection: He puts the truth in the open, triggers you to doubt yourself and in that confusing, manipulates you to **still** believe the Ceausescu were shot dead.

The Ceausescu weren't even there. The testimony of Carlan was used by the Romanian Workers' Party to exhume the bodies of the Ceausescus to find out how they **died**. In other words it is a constant re-informing of the lie that the Ceausescus **died**. What they are actually doing is trying to convince you that the Ceausescus were executed. It is the **same** pattern as they use to this day concerning the 'Kennedy assassination' by the mainstream and alternative media to **disagree** on details, but ALL **agree** that Kennedy was shot. **He wasn't**. He **faked** his death, just like Ceausescu and his wife did.

- Another report that came out, claimed that from the alleged video of the execution of Ceausescu, it can be seen that the execution and video is **faked**. Yes, we already know that, thank you very much. That is also claimed by this **article**.

'Forensic experts' claimed that the Ceausescus were not killed by firing squad, but were killed by head-shots, hours earlier, because only the temples of the head showed evidence of mortal trauma and the bodies were already in a state of rigor mortis during filming. According to these experts, the bodies should have been riddled with bullet wounds from the firing squad, but there are none to be seen. There was almost no blood around Ceausescu, but there was a pool of blood around the head of Elena. Watch the video **here** or **here** for yourself. First 1 hour and 10 minutes or so is the trial (for which you can read the translated transcript **here**), after which you see the 'execution'.

In that video, you **do not** see the Ceausescus walk to the wall. You do not see them get blasted with bullets. You do not really see the execution. The camera is tilted down when the shots are fired and there is a lot of smoke. After the smoke clears, the bodies are on the floor and Elena has her face covered with a head scarf. This shot is a **huge red flag**. It looks like the head scarf has been placed over her face as mentioned earlier. Then one of the bozos on the video instructs the other guy to remove the shawl. It is **not** Elena. It is either an actress or a doll. It is clear this has been staged.

So with this theory, they had to have dragged the bodies from the 'kill place' to the area of the barracks where they filmed everything. There is no evidence in the video of dragging them there. Just a pool of blood running from Elena. Nothing from Nicolae.

The simplest explanation is that Nicolae and Elena **weren't even there**. Nicolae's body lies down extremely awkward with his head back and knees out front, as if a doll fell down backwards. No body which is first alive and then shot with dozens of bullets falls down like that. It **fall sideways** or to the **front**. Even if the hands are tied behind the back. This is an actor, or most likely a doll. Ceausescu was also said to have many doppelgangers. These were people who looked like him who were employed for Ceausescu's protection in high risk situations. Why not use one of those actors?

In the video, in the moments after the 'execution', you see them arranging the bodies in funerary boxes. Note the date: December 30th 1989. So there was a whopping 5 days between the 'execution' and the placement in the 'coffins'. Why wait so many days? Why not finish it off immediately? It actually looks like they arranged them in coffins **right after** the 'execution'. Same clothes, same color skin, same lightning, just a different date on the camera, which you can **change** so that is very easy to fake.

So, you see what they are doing here with this theory? Gaslighting you. They present another theory for the conspiracy theorists and dis-believers, but what are they making you believe? That the Ceausescus are **dead**. That is all that they are interested in for you to believe. Does not matter what you believe as long as you believe that they are dead. Case closed. Same patterns with JFK and many others.

Later in the video it allegedly shows blood gushing out of the head of Elena as mentioned before. It is not entirely sure where the blood comes from, or that it is even blood. If Elena was shot before, how did they get the blood there? So they shot her in the head first and then dragged her to the wall of the barracks? Or did they first wait to drain the blood and then drag them to the barracks and start filming? Or did they add a bit of dark red paint to the action? The bullet holes in the wall at the barracks were also too low for a normal chest shot. So there are massive incongruencies with this whole story.

This [other article](#) claims the blood was gushing out of Elena's head at the **barracks**. So if they were shot before, they had to drag her body outside, place it there and then start filming. But we do not see a line of 'dragged blood' so she must have been shot there first and then they faked the video. Or, what is more plausible, it is **all fake** and they just added some drama effects that **do not make any sense** in a real world execution.

As said before, there is no alleged footage of them between the moment of the trial and the moment that they are standing against the wall. So there is **no evidence** that they walked towards the wall of the barracks to be shot. Why not? What is the big deal about walking outside from a barrack room? Well, the problem is that if the Ceausescus were taken away somewhere else and they used actors or dummy bodies, they obviously cannot show that. Just like with [JFK](#), they **swapped them** with others or with another setting.

The official story is that they were executed following the trial. The conspiracy version is that the Secret Service Securitate plotted against the Ceausescus and got rid of them by actually murdering them. This latter version came out after the official story, because they had to account for the massive inconsistencies in this cuck-up story they fabricated. As nobody has any knowledge what the Securitate actually did, they can keep the conspiracy theorists at bay and send them into the bushes with an acceptable story: Some group within the Secret Service got smart and acted.

We always see a mainstream story and an alternative story around these kind of events, to keep people **within the polarity**. People are set against each-other with two stories, just like with the JFK story, and both sides are **controlled**. You can recognize the polarity, because they **agree** on one thing and the **most important** thing: That the Ceausescus are **dead**. That is their only goal and that is for you in the mainstream or you in the alternative stream to **believe** that the Ceausescus are dead.

But the truth is **always outside** of the polarity. You can find it in the third option: The Ceausescus faked their deaths and escaped to an undisclosed location. Their life project had ended and their faked deaths were the reward, alongside all the looting they had done over decades, stealing from the Romanian people.

The Ceausescus vanished to Switzerland or some other place and the world got to see the faked deaths. That is the most likely scenario of what happened then.

And the Securitate? They have always been and will always be controlled by the international Jewish banking and trading families. Communism is their project and they control it alone. Ceausescu was a mere **puppet**. And even if the truth is that the Ceausescus did get killed, which the evidence does not point to due to the many inconsistencies across the board, then it still stands that the Jewish interests held a firm grip over Romania and continued a new project: Preparation for Democracy, the 1993 Maastricht treaty, the future admission to the EU and the increase of looting the Romanian people financially and morally. Nothing new here, same bird, different feathers. The phoenix which rises again from the ashes of chaos, destruction, confusion, lies and deceit.

But there is more.

- Another media outlet on the same video, have another **take**: It was a military coup against the Ceausescus, carried out under cover by the 'popular revolt'. You have to laugh. Coups can only be carried out by insiders who have precise information. It is another story to sell the death of the Ceausescus as real.

According to the theory, the 'plotters' knew each other (obviously) and that they visited Moscow before the 'revolution' happened. Do you think the Securitate would not know about such trips? That they would not know these people's intentions? The biggest red flag is that Ceausescu himself said at the trial that the revolutionary authorities (the National Salvation Front) were part of a **Soviet plot**. You see? Both Ceausescu as the later media are reading from the same **script**. Blaming the Russians, never mentioning the Jews. Ceausescu **knew** the script and played along. The article quote one of the people interviewed:

"The whole idea was to show that the uprising had broad popular support, that the people who were ousted were not replaced by just another band of Communists who exchanged power with them,"

Right. I just showed you that the members of the National Salvation Front, the new 'government' after the revolution where the **same people** who surrounded Ceausescu. It was the **same bird** all along. And this bozo now tells us that everything was new, fine and dandy.

It wasn't new, fine and dandy. It was the same shit all over again with a new coat of feathers and a new marketing story. They just terminated the communist project and started the new democracy project.

And you can also see from his words how he talks about it. He talks about it as if it was a television production: The whole '**idea**' was to **show** that the 'uprising' had popular support. It was a show, that came from an idea to influence public opinion and change the minds of the Romanians radically.

He also tells you more lies that they got rid of the communists. They did not. Do you think that people change overnight, when a new postcard image has been declared over a nation? Do you think those old dogs from the Securitate just forgot all their old tricks? Of course not. New flag, same masters. He tells you right there. It was **staged**.

- Then we have [this liar](#) who came out with an interview about his alleged 'shooting' the Ceausescus. It is a story right in line with the mainstream version of the story. More hogwash and BS.
- Lastly, as mentioned before, here is an example video, which you can watch [here](#), which is a clear theater production and not the real event. It was produced some years after 1989. But it shows that there is an effort to reinforce the mainstream narrative and not properly questioning what happened on December 25, 1989.

The time after the Romanian Revolution of 1989

As mentioned before [Ion Iliescu](#), who signed the special tribunal into decree, became the next President. Days after the trial of the Ceausescus, there was still chaos and fighting in Bucharest. It seems that the newly formed government wanted to make sure, with the help of the army, to smother any competitor for power and secure a smooth transition into the Iliescu administration.

Wikipedia explains it as 'miscommunication' and confusion, but you have to think who the leaders were of the armed forces. Only they would have the leverage to act and influence the situation and nobody else. Those military leaders were within the National Salvation Front of Iliescu. They were tightening the grip on their new obtained power. It is simple to see through all the propaganda at wikipedia.

The rest is history. Romania transitioned into a new propaganda model called Democracy, with the same old crooks leading the country. A new era of making the slaves more labor-effective had begun and the era of Ceausescu's transition from an agricultural country towards an industrialized nation was completed.

Ceausescu was probably sipping some cocktails somewhere on the shore of some lake in Switzerland, laughing at his TV how he had fooled millions of people for his Jewish

overlords. It is a sickening ending, but something that has been played out many many times with 'dictators' of the past.

It is interesting to have a quick look at [Ion Iliescu](#), the post-revolution president of Romania. His mother, Maria Dumitru Toma, was originally from Bulgaria. The name '[Toma](#)' is associated with Assyrian and Aramaic, which is in the same language group as Hebrew and Phoenician. It is proto-Hebrew. His mother abandoned him when he was young. Yeah right. Meaning: they scrubbed her details, so people would not dig into her roots and find out that she was Jewish. Which makes Iliescu Jewish too. Iliescu is known to be a prominent [sympathizer](#) of Israel and the fake stories of WWII.

In 1933 Iliescu's father went to Russia to take part in the 'Congress of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union' in Moscow. He stayed in Russia for the four following years. So what did he do there? You don't have to search far for that answer: he was trained to become a spook and that is what he became when he returned. He got immediately arrested when he set foot on Romanian soil and spent the rest of his life in prison until his death in 1945. Would that have influence on the course of Ion Iliescu's life? Probably. But not for the benefit of the people I am sure. He was close to the Ceausescu's as you can see in this picture on the right, playing games outside with Elena Ceausescu. Something that he would be very good at during his entire life.



Iliescu has admitted that his grandfather, Vasili Ivanovici was a Russian Jew, who escaped the 'Tsarists persecutions' to find refuge in Romania. Since all the Tsarists families were crypto-Jews who pretended to be Christians, there was no prosecution of Jews in Russia. Just a perception of it. So his grandpa might have been a Russian spook, who came to Romania to run projects, primarily against the unsuspecting Romanian population. So Iliescu came from a Jewish family and they are trying to hide that.

But it gets better. Iliescu was allegedly adopted by an aunt, who was a cook for...wait for it...Hannah Rabinsohn, Jewish Romanian Minister of Foreign Affairs for the newly formed communist state of Romania in the later 1940s. I exposed her above. What a coincidence right? Iliescu married [Elena Serbanescu](#), who had a mother that had 'difficulties raising her'. What kind of description is that? Nothing more is given about her, but you

can rest assured that they are trying to make his wife the usual victim too. Just to raise sympathy and refrain from suspicions. Ion Iliescu went to the [Saint Sava National College](#), an ultra prestigious school that has delivered some of the top spooks, politicians and heads of industry in Romania. You had to be connected to get in there, so we already know that the story of Iliescu's childhood is bullshit and that he is probably connected to the ultra rich Jewish families and that the cook story of Hannah Rabinsohn is just a cover to hide his true origins.

Ion Iliescu was never convicted for any crimes during the 'Revolution' of 1989 and now you know why. He was involved in a lot of crooked shit and you can read all about that on his wikipedia page as I am not going to repeat that here. But what that gives us is a clear picture of a deeply dishonest man, who lied about a lot of things and is the same product of the corrupt international banking families that have Romania in their grip to this very day. It is also clear that Iliescu was a top spook who kept many ties to various intelligence agencies around the world, with the Russian and Romanian as the foremost two.

And if the absolute truth - in the end - is that Ceausescu and his wife really got killed, then it is important to understand that Ceausescu was a mere puppet, with a charismatic demeanor who knew too much and who had managed to transition Romania for so many years into a new state for the international Jewish banking families.

However you want to look at it, the winners were the Jewish bankers and the losers everybody else. It is a disgrace of history in a long line of disgraces with banker's fingers all over it.

It is disgusting and sad to live in a world where it is necessary to manipulate billions of people in believing the lies of these scumbags who want nothing more than play god on Earth and make everybody's lives a miserable hell.

That is the biggest tragedy, because millions of people have been fooled in the usual trickery, lies and deceptions.

Later on, Iliescu **admitted** that the Romanian Revolution was [staged](#). If it was staged, then Ceausescu faked his death as well. Also remember, the best way to destroy a real revolution is to **stage** one that you can control. And the rest is fabricated history.

The tragedy of it all is that to this very day, the old secret service, the Securitate, albeit being split up in nine departments now, is **still** running the show. Billions are being robbed from the Romanian people through all sorts of banking and trading scams, by the children of the [very same people](#) who were leading the Securitate during the Ceausescu years.

Nothing has changed. The bird is still the same.

But now the phoenix has a different pack of feathers. It is called 'Democracy' and it is a bloody shame.

The new Securitate has a de facto monopoly on everything to do with trade and money and the truth is there for anybody to see. But nobody seems to care.