

The Egyptian Pharaohs were Jewish and the Exodus never happened

Private opinion with conclusions derived from own research

First uploaded 31st of October 2023 - [last edited 31st of October 2023]

By Aurelsson

This article will consist of two parts which are intrinsically connected. The first part describes who the Egyptian Pharaoh's were and the second part deals with the complete inversion of history about the Biblical tale of the Jews in Egypt and the resulting hoax that we know as the Exodus. Although the alleged Exodus is a tiny period in the history of what popularly is believed to be 'Ancient Egypt', it gives us the biggest clue to who the Egyptian Pharaohs really were. This also confirms that large parts of the Bible have been altered and if you wish, it gives a strong hunch that most of the Bible is just **made up** from a historical perspective. Let's start with the first part, the Pharaohs.

The Egyptian Pharaohs

Let's start with a bang, shall we?



That is **Yuya**. An extremely well preserved and highly controversial Egyptian mummy. Notice anything striking in particular? Yes, you saw it, the nose. You can't get more crooked than that. Notice also the striking bump on the nose at the lower level of the eyes. Clearly Jewish-Semitic. We will get more details about him shortly.

And that is Tuya, Yuya's wife. Clearly also Jewish-Semitic and definitively not African.



Both mummy's have been found extremely well preserved and both have raised much controversy and upheaval with historians. These mummy's are rare, because they are very well preserved, a feature that does not happen very often in ancient Egyptian discoveries. It is amazing that these pictures have been made public due to the risk of people finding out that the Egyptian royalty was actually Jewish-Semitic Phoenixian. I think these two flew under the radar due to the false narrative that Yuya was no more than an **advisor / vizier** to the Pharaoh, so not much attention would be paid to him.

Yuya has been linked to the Biblical Joseph. The truth of the matter is that Joseph was an obscenely rich merchant and banker who screwed many Egyptians out of their land and possessions, per the bible. A very good analysis has been done [here](#), [here](#) and [here](#) if you want further details about the patriarch Joseph.

The foundation

Firstly, I want to mention a few important aspects to lay a solid foundation for the argumentation of this paper. A lot of great research has been done on the Egyptian question and the huge amount of dis-information that goes along with it. It's time to make a few things crystal clear about Ancient Egypt, the people behind it and the true story of what happened on a top-level scale. I can point you to this excellent [article](#).

Ancient Egypt has been sold for decades as a highly evolved sun-worshipping civilization that built pyramids and was led by pharaoh's until other civilizations took over. It is sold as an independently evolved nation that was somewhat roaming the nearby seas, controlling the Nile and employing slaves to build much of their infrastructure, cities and temples. The problem with this picture is that it's all hogwash.

The truth is that it has always been controlled from behind the curtains by a very powerful international group of Jewish-Semitic banking and trading families that were behind all the major civilizations of history. Trade, banking and intermarriage into the royal houses of these civilizations were the three prime mechanisms how these Jewish-Semitic families got absolute control over these civilizations.

They also created many 'civilizations' from the ground up. They did it by simply controlling the leadership from behind the curtains in all those [civilizations](#). Like this they managed various cultures and geographical regions. Thus, from the outside these were different cultures, but they were always led by the same inter-connected Jewish-Semitic families.

The modus operandi of these Jewish-Semitic families was reminiscent of how the [Phoenicians](#) would deal with trade, banking, commerce and the founding of numerous trading-and port cities all over world. These Jewish-Semitic families were and still are [Phoenician](#). Their goal was, and still is, to maintain absolute power and grip over the world. As said before, they established this through banking, trade, intermarriage into royalty and most importantly through constantly creating new civilizations. They do this through the controlled destruction of current civilizations and building new ones.

The cultures remain, but the mode of operating changes. Examples in modern time is the replacement of Imperial China with Communist China, the replacement of Tsarist Russian with Communist Russia, the replacement of Indian America with Christian America, the replacement of agricultural Europe with the European Union and so forth. Empires come and go, but the same people are always pulling the strings in all phases.

Name changes, faked deaths, false flags, [fake wars](#), [secret language](#), and the [control](#) over all intelligence agencies in the world, are some of the tools the Jewish-Semitic overlords employ to come about this control. These families [\(re-\)wrote](#) the Bible and employed [puns](#) throughout the text. These families act as a phoenix which constantly renews itself, rises from the ashes and adorns itself with a new coat of feathers and rules every civilization by the illusion of being 'new'. The truth is that is has always been the same bird, with the same bag of tricks, which manages to hypnotize entire cultures of people with its new coat of feathers, with tricks, lies and deceptions.

That is why I call these families **Phoenixians**, a slight derivation from Phoenicians, as that is a precise way of describing them: The same bird rises from the ashes. The same ashes that it created itself, to give the illusion of something new. It has always been the same obscenely rich Jewish-Semitic Phoenician families which have been controlling the world trade and through it the entire world.

They have managed this through shipping, by controlling all world [ports](#) and trade lines. They have also managed this through banking, by money lending, money creation and the imposition of interest on loans. And everywhere they went, they created and invented a new facade to hide behind. New names, new stories and new deceptions. Everywhere they went, they put on a new coat of feathers.

These same families have been called the black nobility, ruling families or the rulers behind the curtains, over generations. They have been the same families with the same intent and agenda, tricking the world with new illusions, with new deceptions and with creating new civilizations.

Some of the last major iterations are the re-arrangement of South America, the creation of the United States and Canada, the re-arranged of Africa, multi-national Communism, the UN and the European Union. The next phase is the digitalization of the entire world, which gives a massive increase in the efficiency of control in each country on earth.

Ancient Egypt was no exception to their influence. It was **fully** controlled by these Phoenixians and very closely connected, **at the highest levels**, with the nations surrounding it, which these Phoenixians controlled as well.

Wars and conflicts were faked to rob entire nations of money through these hoaxed events. One of the goals of these hoaxes was to centralize even more power by always playing the dialectic of conflict between nations which they all controlled.

It basically comes down to the fact that the **Ancient Egyptians** were **proto-Phoenicians**. They were thus the same families controlling both Ancient Egypt and Phoenicia. Ancient Egypt was the precursor of the popularly known empire of 'Phoenicia'.

The biggest hint for this is that Egypt was pretty much left alone by the pillaging and destroying Sea Peoples, who were the same Phoenicians. Why was Egypt left alone? Because the Ancient Egyptians, the Sea Peoples and the Phoenicians were **one and the same people**. All empires were ruled by the same families from behind the curtains. If they were enemies overtly, they were secret co-operating families in reality.

When Ramses III 'defeated' the invading Sea Peoples in a naval battle, many of the captives were allowed to settle in Canaan. Convenient no? After the reign of Ramses III, chaos erupted in Canaan between the Philistines and the Israelites, and according to historians, the control of that region was 'lost' by the Ancient Egyptians. You have to laugh. How can you lose control over a region that you so firmly control with the most powerful army of the region? This is more misdirection. The truth is that all these historical 'conflicts' were faked, because the same people controlled them all and it was just a way to skim the people out of their wealth and create much confusion.

And how did these Phoenixians stay secret and how did they work so effortlessly behind the curtains? By playing the victim card. Simple. They hide behind the eternal victims: the Jews. The Phoenixians are the elite of the Jews, but they do not identify with Judaism. They probably invented every single religion on Earth to keep people divided and confused. Judaism is just another ploy to play with and they use the lower ranking Jews for all their hoaxes. Most of the sob stories and victim stories of the Jews are just made up.

It is a perfect cover because nobody would attack the eternal victims. People would even sympathize with victims so it is a perfect shield to hide behind. The Jewish people who have allegedly been wronged by almost every civilization they came in contact with and

who were treated like trash by all those bad societies, will be treated by most people with pity and sympathy. They would not be treated with suspicion. They would be treated with kindness and acceptance. That is exactly what the Phoenixians want, so they are not perceived as a threat to anybody.

But the truth is that the Phoenixians are a threat. The elite Jewish-Semitic Phoenixian families that run the world are the number one threat. 95% of the Jews in the world are not part of this group, they are just being used like anybody else for maintaining the power structure as it is. Some of the 95% know this, but play along as they are rewarded with money, power and some influence. Many in various secret societies around the world also know this, but play along for the same reasons: If you can't beat them, join them. That is the general thinking of people who are in the know.

Controlled opposition in Egyptology

Before we move on to the Pharaohs, I want to introduce you to Ahmed [Osman](#), an Egyptian-born writer who now lives in London. He wrote a few 'critical' and 'revisionist' history books about Ancient Egypt that have a different view of what really happened.



Before Osman questioned the veracity of Egyptian history, he studied law and worked as a journalist and **playwright**. Red flags all over the place. What have the legal system, journalism and writing fiction anything to do with truth? Not much if you have read my other papers, so we have to be very cautious with anything Osman is telling us.

I mention him, because he is a prime example of how controlled opposition works in the historical department. He says some good and truthful things, but then sends you astray

with the usual hogwash. He has made the direct connection between some famous pharaohs and some biblical figures. In other words, since the Bible does not mention names of pharaohs, Osman has done that for you and has connected some of them through his research.

Whether or not these people are the same as in the Bible is not relevant here because we will never truly know. More relevant is observing what he is **actually** doing to influence your opinion about who the Pharaohs really were and what -if any- information of the Bible is truthful.

In a nutshell these are his findings:

1. The famous patriarch of the Bible called **Joseph** is in fact **Yuya**, the vizier of Amenhotep III of the 18th Dynasty. Remember him from above? Yes, the one with the crooked nose. What kind of vizier gets mummified? A very powerful one! We are told that only pharaohs get mummified, so how in the world does Joseph, a Hebrew immigrant, who rose to the rank of second most powerful man in Ancient Egypt, get the royal treatment? Because he was **no immigrant**. You see what Osman is doing here? He tells you the truth about Joseph: that he was a Jew and very powerful man ruling over Egypt, but then sends you into the bushes with the theory that Joseph came **towards** Egypt from Canaan. He didn't. He was **always in Egypt**. And he was already family of the Pharaoh, hence the royal treatment. The Bible admits that Joseph was a rich **merchant and banker** and was running financial hoaxes on the Egyptians from day one he got to power.

But, Osman gives us the usual Jewish hogwash story: The Jew who rises to power through his wit and wisdom, coming from poor background and making it all the way up to the highest in command. What a hero! You can read all about this in Osman's book 'The Hebrew Pharaohs of Egypt'.

What Osman is also cleverly doing is selling you the stories in the Bible as **real**. Talking about hitting two birds with one stone: he has you believe the Jewish success story and also making the fairytales in the Bible seem real. Do not fall for it. A biblical fantasy that he sells as real for example, is the Exodus. In part two of this paper you can read the complete dismantling of this fake story.

Osman then continues to gaslight you on the Jewish/Hebrew/Phoenixian influence in Egyptian royalty. He **mentions** it with immigrant Joseph as Yuya, but makes it appear **insignificant, rare** and **not typical**. In other words, he praises the Jewish success-story but downplays the influence of the Jews in Ancient Egypt. Controlled opposition 101 if you ask me.

As mentioned above, the biblical Joseph was a financial wizard who scammed lots of people out of their property and possessions. He was a **trickster** who was all about hoarding, financial scams, arbitrage, debt enslavement and the obvious land grabs. Joseph had massive influence over the Royal family, according to the Bible. He was somebody who was at least a two time handler of two famous

Pharaohs and a financial deceiver who got insanely rich through running many scams. Recognize the pattern from modern times?

The name **Joseph** actually means **credit** and **debt**. You can't get it more clear than that, can you? This tells us something important: Perhaps all the figures in the Bible are fiction, merely masks or roles for the real royalty of that time.

Perhaps the figures in the Bible are fake, but represent real people who wish to **hide** behind those fake persona of the Bible. In other words, they want to tell you the truth through fiction without revealing their true self. Food for thought.

2. Osman also states that the famous Moses from the Bible is actually pharaoh Akhenaton. Whether or not this is true is not really relevant, because it does not change the outcome of his point: He sells the Exodus as **real**. That is the major assumption you will make when reading most of his works. This is misdirection, deception and false.

Let's focus on what is really going on:

He tells you again that biblical Jews were not poor schmucks from the desert, but actually powerful people who ruled civilizations. But we already know that: If Moses ever existed, he was no foundling in a basket who was found by Egyptian royalty. Normally, if royalty would find a child in a basket that was **not** royal it would leave the basket where it was found it and let the river deal with it. No, if Moses ever existed, he was **royalty** and part of the Egyptian royal family.

It's in his name: Moses means 'Child of'. So Tut-Moses (Tuthmoses) simply meant 'Child of Tut'. The Phoenixians scrapped whatever was in front of 'Moses' and made up the story that he was a Hebrew foundling who was fished out the Nile. They did this to **conceal** who he really was: Jewish Royalty within the Ancient Egyptian royal house. Simple as that.

If Moses was so concerned of the alleged slavery of Hebrews in Egypt, he just needed to wait to become pharaoh and set them free by royal decree. But of course, there **were no Hebrew slaves** in Egypt and the whole story is hogwash. The whole Moses story makes no sense and the Exodus even less. Moses - if he ever existed - was Jewish **royalty** in the Egyptian royal house.

But now comes the deliberate confusion by Osman: Since Osman claims that Moses is Akhenaton, Osman has to account for the Exodus. There is no Exodus without Moses. In other words he has to **sell** you the Exodus as **real**. He does that by **making** Moses **real**, by using Akhenaton as the actor who **played** Moses, i.e. Akhenaton is wearing a mask with the face of Moses, figuratively speaking. Akhenaton is the actor and Moses is the mask. Let that sink in for a moment. It is widely accepted through Archaeological finds, ancient texts and artifacts that Akhenaton was real and lived. So by using somebody real (Akhenaton) and equating him with a fiction (Moses), the Biblical hogwash **becomes** real. If you are reading the Bible, you are chasing ghosts. And these ghosts may represent real people, who do not want you to know who they really are.

Osman claims that Akhenaton was **kicked out** of the royal house and **out of Egypt** for his religious views and mismanagement. He took with him the Jews who supported him. From his mono-theistic views, Judaism was born and he 'led the Jews' out of Egypt.

As we will see later on, the whole Exodus story is a 100% fabrication and has no basis in reality what so ever. If Akhenaten was Moses, then he didn't go anywhere, except for trading missions and royal visits.

Think about this: Who has more power than the most powerful man in Ancient Egypt? In other words, who could have kicked out the most powerful man? Answer: The people behind the curtain, i.e. the Phoenixian overlords who **owned** everything.

So there are two options: Either the whole story of Moses and Akhenaten is made up. Or the Phoenixians just replaced one puppet for another. Happens all the time, and even more so in our modern time.

Perhaps the Phoenixians just got cheeky and invented a whole story out of an event that is business as usual. Important to note is that even puppets are and were from the same Phoenixians families. They have to be, because they have to be in on it. They have to know that they are playing a role, so they Phoenixians prefer to have insiders from the family to run the hoaxes.

Osman **wants** to sell the Exodus as **real**. So with Moses being Akhenaton, he accounts for that in his books. Osman even goes further by identifying the city where Moses led the Jews out of Egypt in his book 'The Lost City of the Exodus' with alleged archaeological evidence. Rest assured: there is zero evidence for a mass exodus out of Egypt. We will see that in the next section.

So you see what Osman is doing here? He sells you the Exodus as real and he sells you the idea that the Egyptian Pharaohs were different than Jews, with the exception that one or two made it to the position of vizier. He downplays who the Pharaoh's really were. That is the major element how he is controlling the opposition.

Again, Moses could well be Akhenaton or not, but that is not the issue here. The most relevant part is how Osman is **gaslighting** you about **who the pharaohs** really were. He tells you a truth and then muddies the water again with nonsense. You can read all about this in his book 'Moses and Akhenaten'.

Moses as a name, besides meaning 'child of', also means '**financial interest**', derived from 'offspring' in Egyptian Coptic. We see similar meanings in Sumerian. Moses as a name is also associated in the Bible with 'loan & credit'. Nuff said no?

3. Osman also claims that the Biblical Jesus was not Jewish at all and did not come from Judea, but was the famous Egyptian pharaoh Tutankhamen, the son of Akhenaten. Jesus thus was Moses' son. Again, this could be true or not, but he is

directing you **away** from the Jews and towards **more confusion**. It is described in his book 'The house of the Messiah'. More on Jesus a bit later on.

4. Lastly, there is the claim by Osman that Christianity comes from Egypt and not from Canaan. Well, since we are dealing with the same Phoenixians who have invented religions and religious texts to confuse the masses, Osman is right again, since the same people were behind Ancient Egypt **and** Canaan/Judea/Israel/Phoenicia. That whole region was just an extension of Ancient Egypt and run by the same interconnected Phoenixian families.

Osman is promoted both on Graham Hancock's [site](#) and on the 'alternative' history website [Ancient Origins](#). Alarm bells should be ringing right now if you have been digging into historical data. Graham Hancock is an obvious controlled opposition [agent](#), who peddles pseudo-science, pseudo-history and pushes drugs and psychedelics through his books and seminars. Ancient Origins is a known spook hangout and a front for the international intelligence agencies with lots of dis-information and controlled opposition agents.

Also, Osman co-wrote a book with Robert Bauval, another famous controlled opposition spook, who has been peddling historical dis-information with Graham Hancock for years. Osman is complicit through association, through being promoted by these two websites and the people behind it. The fact that he is muddying the waters about the Jews and selling you the Exodus hoax as real, are the two biggest red flags.

To get a background about Osman is fairly difficult as he was born in Cairo and moved to London. Whether he is part of secret societies, secret clubs or is involved with intelligence is hard to prove.

But, we can have a look at the various publishers that he has worked with. It is important to know that the largest publishers in any country are controlled by the Phoenixians, so nothing of importance will ever be allowed to be printed. Since Osman has worked with a few big publishers it is already a big red flag there.

One of the publishers that Osman worked with is Bear & Company, which is now part of Inner Traditions publishers and have published many works by spooks, royals and agents. Some of these fakers are Zecharia Sitchin, Andrew Collins, Michael Tellinger, R.A. Schwaller de Lubicz, Irene van Lippe-Biesterfeld and many others.

Osman's book about Jesus was also published with HarperCollins, which is one of the 'big five'. The irony is the HarperCollins has a Christian book publishing subsidiary called HarperCollins Christian Publishing, thus printing conflicting works on the life of Jesus. Nuff said, no?

Yuya

Back to [Yuya](#), or shall we say Joseph? Of course, Osman's theory has been dismissed by the mainstream historians, who push the argument that the Bible states that Joseph's mummy had been transported back to Canaan. We all know that Yuya's mummy was found in the Valley of the Kings, so it can't be the same guy right? As if historians

always take the Bible as historical proof. Well, they take it as historical proof if it serves their own narrative. If not, they readily dismiss the Bible as well, so this a moot argument and this is about the only argument the mainstream has on this, so it runs quite thin.

The question is, have they considered the fact that the story in the Bible is invented and that Yuya never came from anywhere but Egypt and was a very rich Egyptian Phoenician regent? The historians won't consider that, but Wikipedia [admits](#) it, so why not connect the dots?

Why not make the connection that Yuya was a wealthy royal from Egypt, who was mummified after his death just like all the royals were. Even to this very day, royalty is mummified, or as they want to call it now, 'embalmed'. For example, many of the European royals are still 'embalmed' after death, so they say.

Whether or not Yuya was Joseph, is the least relevant of issues to consider. He was Jewish-Semitic and a very influential and wealthy individual who yielded a tremendous influence over the region. If history proves him to be the same Biblical Joseph, then that confirms the main thesis even more.

Additional Theories

Writer Moustafa Gadalla in his book 'Historical Deception - The untold story of Ancient Egypt' mentions several more theories to make sense of the whole Biblical narrative. He follows Osman's assumptions about the Exodus, the Bible, the pharaoh's and so forth, so it is evident to be prudent about anything he says, but there are some aspects to consider.

He does correctly call out the David and Goliath story as fake, but sells the Exodus as real and also sells other nonsensical stories in the Bible as real. The truth of the matter is that almost all of the Jewish stories in the Bible are made up.

The moral lessons probably come from a much older version of manuscript on which many books of the Bible are based. In other words, the Bible is just a Jewish appropriation, or in other words, a Jewish hijack and alteration of more ancient texts that came from a time before the Phoenician world-hegemony.

For anyone wanting the in-depth proofs that Gadalla provides, I highly recommend the aforementioned book on the following points.

Gadalla states that:

- **Tuthmoses III** - 6th Pharaoh of the 18th Dynasty was in fact the famous **King David** of the Bible. The Biblical King David's war accounts match those of Tuthmoses III's in many aspects perfectly.
- Tuthmoses IV - 8th Pharaoh of the 18th Dynasty had **Yuya** as his vizier/advisor. Yuya also had a daughter called **Tiye** who married **Amenhotep III**, Tuthmoses IV's successor and 9th Pharaoh of the 18th Dynasty. Tiye was the **mother** of Amenhotep IV, popularly known as Akhenaten. According to Osman and Gadalla, Akhenaten is

Moses. If Yuya was Jewish, Tiye must also have been Jewish and thus, through the female line as is customary with Jews, all offspring would be Jewish as well. Remarkably, royal descent for the Egyptian Royal house was through the female line as well, usually through the eldest daughter of the Pharaoh. That is why it seems that many pharaoh's tended to marry their baby sisters to get the power of the throne. Were the Egyptians adopting succession tradition from the Jewish tradition?

- **Amenhotep III** - 9th Pharaoh of the 18th Dynasty was in Fact the famous **Solomon** of the Bible. **Yuya** was also the vizier for this Pharaoh. You can see, how powerful Yuya really was, if he could play the **handler** of at least two very important historical Pharaohs. Solomon was King David's son, so Amenhotep III (Solomon) was the son of Tuthmoses III (David).

King Solomon's life and lack of wars match the life of Amenhotep III and his lack of wars. There is no historical record of any ruler called Solomon. Solomon is only mentioned in the Bible, but there **are** historical records of the pharaoh Amenhotep III. These two persons match perfectly.

Both the Old testament and the Talmud admit that Solomon was not the original name of this ruler. Solomon was a king in Israel, but that region was part of the Egyptian empire in reality, so it is a clear clue who was running the show in Israel at that time, namely the Ancient Egyptians.

This could be another example of Jewish **appropriation** of a real character, Amenhotep III, who was replaced by a fake character, namely Solomon. The real pharaoh Amenhotep III was playing the role of the fake character Solomon. This is another nail in the coffin for the deception that we know as the Bible.

- Amenhotep IV - 10th Pharaoh of the 18th Dynasty - as we have mentioned before - was the famous **Moses** of the Bible, also known as **Akhenaten**. This pharaoh is highly controversial and many researchers have many conflicting opinions about him. He also was Amenhotep III's son. So we have the direct line David (Tuthmoses III) - Solomon (Amenhotep III) - Moses (Amenhotep IV / Akhenaten).

Akhenaten's mother was Tiye, Yuya's daughter. According to scriptures, Tiye sent Akhenaten to Goshen, the Hebrew stronghold in Ancient Egypt to save him from danger. What danger? Did people find out that he was Jewish? Was their cover blown? Or was there a complete different reason?

After Akhenaten's time on the throne, his name was erased everywhere. Why? Did people discover something about him? Interestingly in 1989, the alleged mummy of the vizier of Akhenaten was found. His name was Aper-el, which means 'Hebrew' (Aper) 'Lord' (el). Yet again another Jew who was tasked to be a **handler** for the Pharaoh.

Whether or not **all** Pharaohs in Ancient Egypt were Jewish, it is important to see that behind each of them was a Jewish figure pulling the strings, just as Yuya was, when he was a vizier for at least two known Pharaoh's.

So are the Jews hiding their massive influence of ancient civilizations, through a made up story of the Bible? Was the Bible set up and written to give the hidden rulers an alibi? Is it a work of fiction to conceal the **real people** behind some of the most famous world civilizations of the past? It seems like it.

One of the best methods I found to try to figure out what happened with anything is the **rise above the polarity** and see what both sides are ignoring. Both sides being the mainstream historians and the alleged 'critical' alternative historians. Most alternative views come from controlled opposition spooks like Osman and Hancock, so we have to rise above this polarity at all times to get closer to the truth. So, what are both sides **not** saying? **Who benefits** from the polarity? What is gained by keeping both sides of the story?

What most researchers are not saying is the Moses/Akhenaten was never kicked out or never went anywhere, because he was Egyptian royalty. There was no Hebrew enslavement, because the Hebrews were in charge and the whole Exodus exists only in the realm of fantasy. It is also obvious that the character of Akhenaten has been appropriated to fit a certain agenda or narrative, which benefits the people in power.

It gives them the shield of appearing as the victims to enable to operate without much opposition and without detection. It is brilliant: having the truth in the open, without anybody actually seeing it, due to the amount of brainwashing that is going on.

Unfortunately, no mummy or remains of Akhenaten have ever been made public, if there ever were any, so we have to take the story of Akhenaten with a scoop of salt and be prudent on what actually makes sense - if any - in his story.

Yet, Gadalla also sells the Exodus as real, so is he a controlled opposition spook as well?

Another figure, who used the same argumentation as Osman and Gadalla, is Jewish Psychiatrist Sigmund Freud, who claimed that Moses was Egyptian who led the Jews out of Egypt in his book 'Moses and Monotheism'. Again, Freud is selling the Exodus as real, so this adds more argumentation to know that the **Exodus** is and always has been a **hoax** and we can add **Freud** to that list as well.

We will get to the bit about the Exodus in the second section.

- Moses' son is **Jesus**, called **Tutankhamen**. So we have the direct line David (Tuthmoses III) - Solomon (Amenhotep III) - Moses (Amenhotep IV / Akhenaten) and finally Jesus (Tutankhamen). It might be crazy to think of this lineage as true, but whether or not it is true, it shows the intimate relationship between the Jews and the famous Dynastic Egyptian Royal houses of the past.

It is true that there is no real evidence that Jesus ever lived around the year 0 in Galilee, because contemporary writers do not mention anything about him. Jesus only exists within the realm of the Bible. Also a big red flag is that the Jews do not

recognize Jesus as their savior. The Talmud mentions a priest named Pinhas as the one who killed Jesus. Pinhas lived during the time of Moses, so the above lineage makes more sense in that regard.

Whether or not Jesus is Tutankhamen is again not the most important point here. The most important thing is what that assumption **implies**. It implies Jesus is real - which is debatable - and it also implies another example that the Pharaohs of Egypt were Jewish.

It is **not** necessary to believe that Jesus lived, to see that the Egyptian Pharaoh's were Jewish. Even if Jesus is not real, then **Tutankhamen's mummy** could give leads to its **Jewish heritage**. Mainstream scientists admitted and concluded from the autopsy of Tutankhamen's mummy that **Tutankhamen** had **Semitic features**.

Both the Bible and the Talmud agree that Jesus was in Egypt and that he was a King, so either it's more fantasies or there are some clear leads for Jesus being Tutankhamen.

According to the Talmud, Jesus found a violent death with crucifixion **and** hanging. The bible only talks about a crucifixion. The mainstream research agree that Tutankhamen found a violent death and his mummy revealed many broken bones. It seems from skin tissue research that Tutankhamen was in extreme stress at the time of death.

The same Bible places **Jesus and Moses** in the **same era** in Mark 9:2-5 so when did they actually live - **if** they ever lived? The bible is confused about this simple but very important aspect.

Also, there is a massive cover-up with the Dead Sea Scrolls so there are things that happened back then that we are not allowed to know.

For more in-depth details about the Jesus- Tutankhamen connection, I point you to Gadalla's book.

The 'Exodus'

I have touched upon the Exodus a few times already in this article, but here are some points to consider when still in doubt if the Exodus happened or not.

- Let's just use common sense for a moment: The whole Exodus story does not make any sense when you take it face value: Why wouldn't Moses just wait until he was pharaoh to free the slaves of Egypt with a single royal decision? Why go all the way to Sinai to talk to his god and then come back again? Who in their right mind would believe the miracles he did, including the whole splitting of the Red sea, the plagues, other supernatural events and so on? Only very naive people would take this story as truthful. It is blatantly obvious this whole story is about serving the Jews only and making everybody else the 'aggressor'. It is the usual Jewish sob story that they have been wronged since time immemorial by everybody they came in contact with. The eternal victims in all their stories.
- Besides the usual common sense, international and Israeli archaeologists admit that there is zero evidence the Exodus took place. Additionally, Wikipedia calls it a myth, so the mainstream admits the Exodus is a crock of bull.
- In the story of the Exodus, allegedly 2,5 million Hebrews moved around Sinai for 40 years and set up 42 camps that the Bible claims became cities. None of these 42 'cities' were ever found nor excavated. No remains were ever found.
- Exodus 11:2-4 and 12:21-24 tells us that 2,5 million Hebrews were notified that they would have to pack up and leave for Canaan, **within one day**. You have to laugh. You can't be serious to believe that this is possible right? Think of the massive communication and logistical challenges which you have to face to mobilize 2,5 million people within 24 hours of notification.

Think also about the massive sanitary challenges to keep this horde of people from developing plagues and disease over a lifespan of 40 years. Also, what about providing water to 2,5 million people in the barren Sinai desert? Can you imagine the engineering challenge that comes with it? It is just not possible.

Also 2,5 million moving people is in fact a moving city. That is just not logistically tenable. It is just insanity to believe that that could have worked. 2,5 million people will form a line of 200 miles and that line supposedly outran a highly trained and efficient Egyptian army. Please!

Miraculously, there were also ample tents ready for this 2,5 million people to take on their journey.

Just realize that whoever wrote this story is just toying with you.

- The Bible claims that Pharaoh's army was destroyed, but there is no archaeological proof for this claim either. On the contrary, the Egyptian army controlled the entirety of Canaan, which is the land the Hebrews allegedly escaped to. So if the Hebrews escaped to Canaan, they would escape from Egypt to Egypt. Makes sense no?

- The date that the Bible gives us for the Exodus, extrapolating from 1 Kings 6:1, is 1447 BCE. That is during Tuthmoses III, who conquered many cities, built many things and died a natural death. There is an actual mummy of him.

To do all that, he had an army and a massive workforce. So Pharaoh's army was not defeated and did not sink to the bottom of the ocean. Half his workforce did not leave Egypt for a better life in Canaan. Pharaoh is also not at the bottom of the sea, but was nicely put in a coffin for future preservation. You can see the amount of mismatches and rampant lies that are peddled in the Bible.

- Additionally, there are zero historical and archaeological findings and proofs in the Ancient Egyptian records that the Hebrews were in Egypt, let alone that there were Hebrew slaves in Egypt.
- Following the reasoning of this article, both Moses and Ramses were most probably **related** and not enemies. It is just like with many projects in our modern times, when people are related in secret, but are overtly portrayed as enemies to play the dialectic and gain leverage over the rest of the population.
- There are no historical records that either Moses, Abraham, Isaac or Jacob ever lived. We only have the stories from the Bible about these people. Another hint that the Bible is just one big made-up theater script.
- Moses writing the first five books of the Bible is dismissed by scholars. It is unlikely that Moses wrote the first five books of the Bible, because in most parts of the Bible, he is referred to in the third person. There is also a description of the death of Moses. Quite the psychic right to write about his own death like that?
- Also many of the places mentioned in the Bible did not even exist at the alleged time of Moses, so clearly somebody else must have written this fairytale centuries later.
- Lastly, this whole analysis gives us another big problem considering Jesus. According to the New Testament, Jesus believed that both Moses and the Exodus were real. Let that sink in for a moment.

We already have seen the big doubt whether or not Moses ever existed. Both Osman and Gadalla want you to believe that Moses did live, but that he was the famous Pharaoh Akhenaton. By connecting Moses to a historical figure like Akhenaton, Moses becomes real, which he isn't. So we are being played here.

We know the Exodus to be a big lie and fake. Jesus **did** believe in the Exodus. This gives us two options: Either Jesus lied or Jesus never existed and was also an invented character to fit the Biblical mess that the Jews created.

Both options are as bad if you ask me. If Jesus lied, he cannot be trusted with anything he said, because he was supposed to be pure and true, so that does not fly. If he never existed, which I think is the most probable outcome of this analysis, then that is the final nail in the coffin of the Bible.

As you can see, the most probable and logical outcome of this all is that this whole story is made up to serve political reasons and other Jewish interests. This story is so badly put together, that it is only the indoctrination and insanity of people who believe in it, that still holds it up.

Mainstream archaeology and mainstream historians have admitted in clear words, that they consider this story a fable which has no basis in reality. So we have the high probability that most, if not all of the figures of the Bible are fictional and that connecting them to Egyptian pharaoh's is an attempt to revive them. It is an attempt to keep the biblical lies alive.

By reviving the biblical characters - what is actually happening - is that **Jewish interests** are **projected on** historical **fictional** figures, to give justification to Jewish influence in ancient royalty due to their brilliance, but at the same time, to peddle the 'Jews are victims' story.

In a nutshell: - the smart victimized underdog is presented to **conceal** the cunning ruling instigator.

We can clearly see that this is all about Jewish **ownership** of the Ancient Royal lines and that the 'Jews are victims' story is completely false. Then and now.

The correct way to see all of this is to see it as Jewish-Semitic Phoenixians functioning as secret rulers, peddling propaganda in the form of religions and religious texts.

Instead of victims, you should read **instigators**.

The whole Bible, the exodus story and the subsequent misinformation have been set-up to peddle the 'Jews are victims' lie. It has also been set up to peddle the 'history of the world is about the suffering of the Jews' lie.

The biggest reason is to **hide** the true nature of who the Jews really are and how they have shaped civilizations from behind the curtain, by **owning everything**.